

IN THE
Supreme Court of the United States

MONICAH OKOBA OPATI, IN HER OWN RIGHT, AND
AS EXECUTRIX OF THE ESTATE OF CAROLINE
SETIA OPATI, DECEASED, *et al.*,

Petitioners,

v.

REPUBLIC OF SUDAN, *et al.*,

Respondents.

ON WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES COURT
OF APPEALS FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

JOINT APPENDIX

CHRISTOPHER M. CURRAN
Counsel of Record
for Respondents

NICOLE ERB
CLAIRE A. DELELLE
NICOLLE KOWNACKI
CELIA A. McLAUGHLIN
WHITE & CASE LLP
701 Thirteenth Street, NW
Washington, DC 20005
(202) 626-3600
ccurran@whitecase.com

Counsel for Respondents

STEVEN ROBERT PERLES
Counsel of Record
for Petitioners

EDWARD B. MACALLISTER
PERLES LAW FIRM, PC
1050 Connecticut Avenue, NW,
Suite 500
Washington, DC 20036
(202) 955-9055
sperles@perleslaw.com

STEVEN W. PELAK
BRETT C. RUFF
HOLLAND & HART LLP
901 K Street NW,
Suite 850
Washington, DC 20001
(202) 393-6500

(Additional Counsel Listed on Inside Cover)

PETITION FOR CERTIORARI FILED MARCH 2, 2018
CERTIORARI GRANTED JUNE 28, 2019

MICHAEL J. MILLER
DAVID J. DICKENS
THE MILLER FIRM, LLC
108 Railroad Avenue
Orange, Virginia 22960
(540) 672-4224

GAVRIEL MAIRONE
MM-LAW LLC
980 North Michigan Avenue,
Suite 1400
Chicago IL 60611
(312) 253.7444

JOHN ARTHUR EAVES, JR.
EAVES LAW FIRM, LLC
101 North State Street
Jackson, MS 39201
(601) 355-7961

WILLIAM WHEELER
JAMIE FRANKS
WHEELER & FRANKS LAW
FIRM PC
114 South Broadway
P.O. Box 681
Tupelo, MS 38802
(662) 842-0380

Counsel for Petitioners

TABLE OF APPENDICES

	<i>Page</i>
APPENDIX A — RELEVANT DOCKET ENTRIES	1a
APPENDIX B — MEMORANDUM OPINION OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, FILED NOVEMBER 28, 2011	79a
<p>The following decision(s), opinion(s) and order(s) have been omitted in printing this Joint Appendix because they appear in the appendix of the Petition for a Writ of Certiorari on the following pages:</p>	
APPENDIX A — OPINION OF THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT, FILED JULY 28, 2017	1a
APPENDIX B — MEMORANDUM OPINION OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, FILED MARCH 23, 2016	147a
APPENDIX C — MEMORANDUM OPINION OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, FILED JULY 25, 2014	249a
APPENDIX D — MEMORANDUM OPINION OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, FILED JULY 25, 2014	267a

Table of Appendices

	<i>Page</i>
APPENDIX E — MEMORANDUM OPINION OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, FILED JULY 25, 2014.....	294a
APPENDIX F — MEMORANDUM OPINION OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, FILED JULY 25, 2014	315a
APPENDIX G — ORDER OF THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT, FILED OCTOBER 3, 2017	342a

APPENDIX A — RELEVANT DOCKET ENTRIES

**RELEVANT DOCKET ENTRIES FROM THE
UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR
THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT,
NOS. 14-5105, 14-5106, 14-5107, 14-7124, 14-7125, 14-
7127, 14-7128, 14-7207, 16-7044, 16-7045, 16-7046, 16-
7048, 16-7049, 16-7050, 16-7052**

Date Filed	Docket Text

05/27/2016	APPELLANT BRIEF [1615376] filed by [Appellants] [Service Date: 05/27/2016] Length of Brief: 13,901 words. [14-5105, 14-5106, 14-5107, 14-7124, 14-7125, 14-7127, 14-7128, 14-7207, 16-7044, 16-7045, 16-7046, 16-7048, 16-7049, 16-7050, 16-7052] (Curran, Christopher) [Entered: 05/27/2016 09:23 PM]

07/27/2016	APPELLEE BRIEF [1627371] filed by [Appellees] [Service Date: 07/27/2016] Length of Brief: 13-931. [14-5105, 14-5106, 14-5107, 14-7124, 14-7125, 14-7127, 14-7128, 14-7207, 16-7044, 16-7045, 16-7046, 16-7048, 16-7049, 16-7050, 16-7052] (Newberger, Stuart) [Entered: 07/27/2016 08:37 PM]

Appendix A

08/12/2016 APPELLANT REPLY BRIEF [1630252] filed by [Appellants] [Service Date: 08/12/2016] Length of Brief: 6,799. [14-5105, 14-5106, 14-5107, 14-7124, 14-7125, 14-7127, 14-7128, 14-7207, 16-7044, 16-7045, 16-7046, 16-7048, 16-7049, 16-7050, 16-7052] (Curran, Christopher) [Entered: 08/12/2016 09:39 PM]

08/19/2016 APPELLEE FINAL BRIEF [1631278] filed by [Appellees] [Service Date: 08/19/2016] Length of Brief: 13,947 words. [14-5105, 14-5106, 14-5107, 14-7124, 14-7125, 14-7127, 14-7128, 14-7207, 16-7044, 16-7045, 16-7046, 16-7048, 16-7049, 16-7050, 16-7052] (Newberger, Stuart) [Entered: 08/19/2016 04:14 PM]

08/19/2016 APPELLANT FINAL BRIEF [1631291] filed by [Appellants] [Service Date: 08/19/2016] Length of Brief: 13,923 Words. [14-5105, 14-5106, 14-5107, 14-7124, 14-7125, 14-7127, 14-7128, 14-7207, 16-7044, 16-7045, 16-7046, 16-7048, 16-7049, 16-7050, 16-7052] (Curran, Christopher) [Entered: 08/19/2016 04:42 PM]

08/19/2016 APPELLANT FINAL REPLY BRIEF [1631296] filed by [Appellants] [Service Date: 08/19/2016] Length of Brief: 6,801 words. [14-5105, 14-5106, 14-5107, 14-7124, 14-7125, 14-7127, 14-7128, 14-7207, 16-

3a

Appendix A

7044, 16-7045, 16-7046, 16-7048, 16-7049,
16-7050, 16-7052] (Curran, Christopher)
[Entered: 08/19/2016 04:47 PM]

07/28/2017 [AMENDED BY PER CURIAM ORDER
ON 5/21/19] PER CURIAM JUDGMENT
[1686290] filed that (1) the District Court's
findings of jurisdiction with respect to all
plaintiffs and all claims be affirmed; (2)
that the District Courts denial of vacatur
be affirmed; (3) all awards of punitive
damages be vacated; and (4) the question
of state law - whether a plaintiff must
be present at the scene of a terrorist
bombing in order to recover IIED - be
certified to the District of Columbia
Court of Appeals, in accordance with the
accompanying opinion of the court and the
order filed herein this date. Before Judges:
Henderson, Rogers, and Ginsburg. [14-
5105, 14-5106, 14-5107, 14-7124, 14-7125,
14-7127, 14-7128, 14-7207, 16-7044, 16-
7045, 16-7046, 16-7048, 16-7049, 16-7050,
16-7052]--[Edited 05/21/2019 by MCM]
[Entered: 07/28/2017 11:04 AM]

07/28/2017 OPINION [1686293] filed (Pages: 129) for
the Court by Judge Ginsburg. [14-5105, 14-
5106, 14-5107, 14-7124, 14-7125, 14-7127,
14-7128, 14-7207, 16-7044, 16-7045, 16-
7046, 16-7048, 16-7049, 16-7050, 16-7052]
[Entered: 07/28/2017 11:18 AM]

Appendix A

08/28/2017 PETITION [1690453] for rehearing, for rehearing *en banc* filed by Appellees *** [Service Date: 08/28/2017 by CM/ECF NDA] Length Certification: 3,806 words. [14-5105, 14-7124, 14-7125, 14-7127, 14-7128] (Miller, Michael) [Entered: 08/28/2017 08:51 PM]

08/28/2017 PETITION [1690460] for rehearing *en banc* filed by Appellants *** [Service Date: 08/28/2017 by CM/ECF NDA] Length Certification: 3,847 words. [14-5105, 14-5106, 14-5107, 14-7124, 14-7125, 14-7127, 14-7128, 14-7207, 16-7044, z6-7045, 16-7046, 16-7048, 16-7049, 16-7050, 16-7052] (Curran, Christopher) [Entered: 08/28/2017 11:41 PM]

10/03/2017 PER CURIAM ORDER, *En Banc*, [1696281] filed denying plaintiffs-appellees Owens, *et al.*, and defendants-appellants Republic of Sudan, *et al.* petitions for rehearing *en banc* [1690460-2], [1690453-3]. Before Judges: Garland, Henderson, Rogers, Tatel, Griffith, Kavanaugh, Srinivasan, Millett, Pillard, Wilkins, and Ginsburg. [14-5105, 14-5106, 14-5107, 14-7124, 14-7125, 14-7127, 14-7128, 14-7207, 16-7044, 16-7045, 16-7046, 16-7048,

5a

Appendix A

16-7049, 16-7050, 16-7052] [Entered:
10/03/2017 08:32 AM]

12/12/2018 NOTICE [1763954] received from the Clerk of the District of Columbia Court of Appeals transmitting its opinion in response to the certify question of law. [14-5105, 14-5106, 14-5107, 14-7124, 14-7125, 14-7127, 14-7128, 14-7207, 16-7044, 16-7045, 16-7046, 16-7048, 16-7049, 16-7050, 16-7052] [Entered: 12/12/2018 02:54 PM]

05/21/2019 PER CURIAM AMENDED JUDGMENT [1788679] filed that the judgment filed July 28, 2017 be amended insofar as the district courts judgments as to the plaintiffs IIED claims are hereby affirmed to the extent they are not inconsistent with the initial panel opinion at 864 F.3d 751 (2017), for the reasons in the accompanying opinion . Before Judges: Henderson, Rogers and Ginsburg. [14-5105, 14-5106, 14-5107, 14-7124, 14-7125, 14-7127, 14-7128, 14-7207, 16-7044, 16-7045, 16-7046, 16-7048, 16-7049, 16-7050, 16-7052]--[Edited 05/21/2019 by MCM] [Entered: 05/21/2019 10:13 AM]

Appendix A

**RELEVANT DOCKET ENTRIES
FROM THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
CIVIL DOCKET FOR CASE #: 1:01-CV-02244-JDB**

Date Filed	Docket Text
10/26/2001	<u>1</u> COMPLAINT filed by plaintiff JAMES OWENS (nmr) (bj,). (Entered: 10/31/2001)
03/13/2003	<u>9</u> RETURN OF SERVICE/AFFIDAVIT of summons and complaint executed on 2/25/03 upon defendant REPUBLIC OF SUDAN (mpt) (Entered: 03/14/2003)

05/08/2003	<u>11</u> ORDER by Judge John D. Bates: hereby entering default againsts defendants; []; this order be translated into Farsi at expense of plaintiff; this order be translated in Arabic at the expense plaintiff; scheduling conference set for 9:15 on 07/15/03 (N) (tb) (Entered: 05/09/2003)

05/09/2003	<u>13</u> VACATED AS TO REPUBLIC OF SUDAN, AND THE MINISTRY OF THE INTERIOR OF THE REPUBLIC

Appendix A

OF SUDAN, PURSUANT TO ORDER FILED 4/20/05.....DEFAULT vs. defendants REPUBLIC OF SUDAN, ISLAMIC REPUBLIC, IRANIAN MINISTRY, By Clerk (N) (mpt) Modified on 05/09/2003 Modified on 5/5/2005 (ks,). Modified on 8/24/2010 to pursuant to courts order of 4/20/05 (dr). (Entered: 05/09/2003)

02/06/2004 43 NOTICE of Appearance by John F. Dienelt on behalf of MINISTRY OF THE INTERIOR OF THE REPUBLIC OF THE SUDAN, REPUBLIC OF SUDAN (Dienelt, John) (Entered: 02/06/2004)

03/10/2004 49 First MOTION to Dismiss With Prejudice and Memorandum in Support Thereof by MINISTRY OF THE INTERIOR OF THE REPUBLIC OF THE SUDAN, REPUBLIC OF SUDAN. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit Declaration of Carney# 2 Exhibit Declaration of Cloonan# 3 Exhibit Brief for US in Sosa v Alvarez# 4 Exhibit Brief for US in Cicippio-Puleo# 5 Exhibit Sunday Times Article# 6

Appendix A

Exhibit New York Times Article# 7
Exhibit Washington Post Article# 8
Exhibit The Observer Article)(Dienelt,
John) (Entered: 03/10/2004)

01/05/2005 100 MOTION to Withdraw as Attorney
Submitted By Counsel For by
REPUBLIC OF SUDAN. (Bemis,
Douglas) (Entered: 01/05/2005)

03/29/2005 104 ORDER denying 49 the Sudan
defendants' motion to dismiss, denying
53 plaintiffs' motion to strike the
motion to dismiss, denying 59 & 60
plaintiffs' motions for leave to take
deposition, denying 71 plaintiffs'
motion to strike the affidavits of John
E. Cloonan and Timothy Michael
Carney, denying 96 plaintiffs' motion
for discovery, and denying 97 plaintiffs'
motion for issuance of letters rogatory;
requiring plaintiffs to submit an
amended complaint consistent with the
accompanying Memorandum Opinion
by not later than May 9, 2005; and
setting a status conference for April
20, 2005, at 9:15 a.m. Signed by Judge
John D. Bates on 3/29/2005. (lcjdb2)
(Entered: 03/29/2005)

9a

Appendix A

04/14/2005 106 MEMORANDUM OPINION. Signed by Judge John D. Bates on 4/14/2005. (lcjdb2) (Entered: 04/14/2005)

04/28/2005 110 NOTICE OF APPEAL as to 106 Memorandum & Opinion, 104 Order on Motion to Dismiss, Order on Motion to Strike, Order on Motion to Take Deposition, Order on Motion for Discovery, Order on Motion for Issuance of Letters Rogatory, by REPUBLIC OF SUDAN, MINISTRY OF THE INTERIOR OF THE REPUBLIC OF THE SUDAN. Filing fee \$ 255, receipt number 134207. (lc,) (Entered: 04/29/2005)

06/24/2005 114 MOTION to Dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction *and Failure to State a Claim* by REPUBLIC OF SUDAN, MINISTRY OF THE INTERIOR OF THE REPUBLIC OF THE SUDAN. (Bemis, Douglas) (Entered: 06/24/2005)

Appendix A

01/26/2006 123 MEMORANDUM OPINION re: 114 Sudan defendants' motion to dismiss. Signed by Judge John D. Bates on 1/26/2006. (lcjdb2) (Entered: 01/26/2006)

01/26/2006 124 ORDER denying 114 Motion to Dismiss. Signed by Judge John D. Bates on 1/26/2006. (lcjdb2) (Entered: 01/26/2006)

02/24/2006 125 NOTICE OF APPEAL as to 124 Order on Motion to Dismiss/Lack of Jurisdiction, 123 Memorandum & Opinion by REPUBLIC OF SUDAN, ISLAMIC REPUBLIC OF IRAN, MINISTRY OF THE INTERIOR OF THE REPUBLIC OF THE SUDAN. Filing fee \$ 255, receipt number 142702. (lc,) (Entered: 02/27/2006)

11/20/2007 128 MOTION by Hunton & Williams LLP to Withdraw as Attorney by REPUBLIC OF SUDAN, MINISTRY OF THE INTERIOR OF THE REPUBLIC OF THE SUDAN (Attachments: # 1 Declaration# 2 Text of Proposed Order)(lc,) (Entered: 11/21/2007)

Appendix A

11/23/2007 MINUTE ORDER: Upon consideration of 128 the motion to withdraw as counsel by Hunton & Williams LLP, and the entire record herein, it is hereby ORDERED that the motion is GRANTED. Signed by Judge John D. Bates on 11/23/2007.(lcjdb2) (Entered: 11/23/2007)

11/26/2007 129 MOTION to Withdraw as Attorney by Douglas K. Bemis, Jr. by REPUBLIC OF SUDAN, MINISTRY OF THE INTERIOR OF THE REPUBLIC OF THE SUDAN (Attachments: # 1 Declaration of Douglas K. Bemis, Jr.# 2 Exhibit LCvR 83.6(c) Certificate and Notice# 3 Text of Proposed Order)(Bemis, Douglas) (Entered: 11/26/2007)

09/09/2008 142 MANDATE of USCA (certified copy) as to 110 Notice of Appeal, filed by REPUBLIC OF SUDAN, MINISTRY OF THE INTERIOR OF THE REPUBLIC OF THE SUDAN (Attachments: # 1 USCA Opinion dated 7/11/08), it is ORDERED and ADJUDGED that the judgment of the District Court appealed from in these causes is hereby affirmed and the case

Appendix A

is remanded for further proceedings, in accordance with the opinion of the court filed herein this date. (USCA no. 05-5173)(kb) (Entered: 09/10/2008)

01/26/2009 148 ORDER granting 129 Douglas K. Bemis, Jr.'s Motion to Withdraw as Attorney, Attorney Douglas K. Bemis, Jr. terminated; denying as moot 130 Bemis's Motion to Lodge Order with the Court and 138 Bemis's Renewed Motion to Withdraw as Attorney; granting 143 Steven R. Perles's Motion to Withdraw as Attorney, Attorney Steven R. Perles terminated; granting 131 Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to File Fourth Amended Complaint; denying as moot 132 Plaintiffs' Motion for Reconsideration; setting motions deadline for 2/16/2009, responses due by 3/18/2009; setting status conference for 4/17/2009 at 9:30 a.m. See Order for details. Signed by Judge John D. Bates on 1/26/2009. (lcjdb2,) (Entered: 01/26/2009)

01/26/2009 149 Fourth AMENDED COMPLAINT against ISLAMIC REPUBLIC OF

13a

Appendix A

IRAN, IRANIAN MINISTRY OF
INFORMATION AND SECURITY,
MINISTRY OF THE INTERIOR OF
THE REPUBLIC OF THE SUDAN
filed by ALL PLAINTIFFS.(tr)
(Entered: 01/27/2009)

03/25/2010 173 Clerk's ENTRY OF DEFAULT as to
MINISTRY OF THE INTERIOR OF
THE REPUBLIC OF THE SUDAN,
REPUBLIC OF SUDAN (znmw,)
(Entered: 03/25/2010)

10/25/2010 Minute Entry: Evidentiary Hearing
held on 10/25/2010 before Judge John
D. Bates: Opening Statement; Video
Deposition witnesses: Prudence
Bushnell, Essam Al-Ridi, George
Mbimba and Jomo Boke; Live
witnesses: Worley Reed, Ken Piernick,
Ellen Bomer, Don Bomer. Evidentiary
Hearing continued to 10/26/2010 at
09:30 AM in Courtroom 8 before Judge
John D. Bates. (Court Reporter Bryan
Wayne) (tb,) (Entered: 10/25/2010)

10/26/2010 Minute Entry: Evidentiary Hearing
held on 10/26/2010 before Judge John

Appendix A

D. Bates: Video witnesses: Reuven Paz; Live witnesses: Patrick Clawson, Matthew Levitt and Tobias Otieno. Evidentiary Hearing continued to 10/28/2010 at 09:30 AM in Courtroom 8 before Judge John D. Bates. (Court Reporter Bryan Wayne) (tb,) (Entered: 10/27/2010)

10/28/2010 Minute Entry: Evidentiary Hearing held on 10/28/2010 before Judge John D. Bates: Live witnesses: Evan Kohlman and Stephen Simon; testimony concluded. (Court Reporter Bryan Wayne) (tb,) (Entered: 10/28/2010)

09/02/2011 211 TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS before Judge John D. Bates held on 10/25/10; Page Numbers: 1 - 102. Date of Issuance:9/2/11.

09/02/2011 212 TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS before Judge John D. Bates held on 10/26/10; Page Numbers: 103 - 211. Date of Issuance:9/2/11.

09/02/2011 213 TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS before Judge John D. Bates held on 10/28/10; Page Numbers: 212 -376. Date of Issuance:9/2/11.

Appendix A

- 11/28/2011 214 ORDER entering final judgment in favor of plaintiffs and against defendants. Status conference scheduled for December 19, 2011 at 9:15 a.m. in Courtroom 14. See Order for details. Signed by Judge John D. Bates on 11/28/2011. (lcjdb2) (Entered: 11/28/2011)
- 11/28/2011 215 MEMORANDUM OPINION. Signed by Judge John D. Bates on 11/28/2011. (lcjdb2) (Main Document 215 replaced on 11/30/2011 at the request of chambers) (dr) (Entered: 11/28/2011)

- 04/17/2012 MINUTE ORDER: Upon consideration of 222 plaintiffs' motion requesting clarification of order entered November 29, 2011, and the entire record herein, it is hereby ORDERED that the motion is GRANTED; and it is further ORDERED that 214 the Order of November 28, 2011 is AMENDED as follows: It is further ORDERED that the Clerk of Court shall arrange for 214 the Order of November 28, 2011 and 215 the accompanying Memorandum Opinion to be translated into Arabic and cause copies of the translated Order and Memorandum Opinion to

Appendix A

be transmitted to the United States Department of State for service upon defendants Republic of Sudan and Ministry of the Interior of the Republic of Sudan; and it is further ORDERED that the Clerk of Court shall arrange for 214 the Order of November 28, 2011 and 215 the accompanying Memorandum Opinion to be translated into Farsi and cause copies of the translated Order and Memorandum Opinion to be transmitted to the United States Department of State for service upon defendants the Islamic Republic of Iran and the Iranian Ministry of Information and Security. SO ORDERED. Signed by Judge John D. Bates on 4/17/2012. (lcjdb2) (Entered: 04/17/2012)

- 05/08/2012 225 AFFIDAVIT *of Service of Process of the Liability Judgment* by ALL PLAINTIFFS. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit Side one of US Postal Service Return Receipt, # 2 Exhibit Side two of US Postal Service Return Receipt) (Fay, Joseph) (Entered: 05/08/2012)
- 05/09/2012 226 MOTION to Intervene by CLARA LEAH ALIGANGA, *et al.* (Attachments: # 1 Memorandum in

17a

Appendix A

Support, # 2 Exhibit A)(dr) (Entered: 05/17/2012)

07/03/2012 233 ORDER granting 226 motion to intervene. Memorandum from proposed plaintiffs due by not later than July 24, 2012. See text of Order for details. Signed by Judge John D. Bates on 7/3/2012. (lcjdb2) (Entered: 07/03/2012)

07/03/2012 234 Intervenor COMPLAINT filed by CLARA LEAH ALIGANGA, *et al.* (znmw,) (Entered: 07/05/2012)

01/02/2013 262 AMENDED COMPLAINT in INTERVENTION against IRANIAN MINISTRY OF INFORMATION AND SECURITY, ISLAMIC REPUBLIC OF IRAN, MINISTRY OF THE INTERIOR OF THE REPUBLIC OF THE SUDAN, REPUBLIC OF SUDAN filed by ALL INTERVENOR PLAINTIFFS.(jf,) Modified on 1/3/2013 to edit party(dr). (Entered: 01/03/2013)

Appendix A

03/26/2013 270 FIFTH AMENDED COMPLAINT against IRANIAN MINISTRY OF INFORMATION AND SECURITY, ISLAMIC REPUBLIC OF IRAN, ISLAMIC REPUBLIC OF IRAN, MINISTRY OF THE INTERIOR OF THE REPUBLIC OF THE SUDAN, REPUBLIC OF SUDAN, REPUBLIC OF SUDAN filed by ALL PLAINTIFFS.(td,) (Entered: 03/26/2013)

03/28/2014 300 MEMORANDUM OPINION. See text for details. Signed by Judge John D. Bates on 3/28/2014. (lcjdb2) (Entered: 03/28/2014)

03/28/2014 301 ORDER. See text and accompanying Memorandum Opinion for details. Signed by Judge John D. Bates on 3/28/14. (lcjdb2) (Entered: 03/28/2014)

04/11/2014 305 ORDER granting 304 motion for entry of final judgment. See text for details. Signed by Judge John D. Bates on 4/11/14. (lcjdb2) (Entered: 04/11/2014)

Appendix A

04/28/2014 308 NOTICE of Appearance by Asim A. Ghafoor on behalf of MINISTRY OF THE INTERIOR OF THE REPUBLIC OF THE SUDAN, REPUBLIC OF SUDAN, REPUBLIC OF SUDAN, MINISTRY OF THE INTERIOR OF THE REPUBLIC OF SUDAN, REPUBLIC OF SUDAN (Ghafoor, Asim) (Entered: 04/28/2014)

05/02/2014 312 NOTICE OF APPEAL TO DC CIRCUIT COURT as to 305 Order on Motion for Entry of Final Judgment by REPUBLIC OF SUDAN. Filing fee \$ 505, receipt number 0090-3698824. Fee Status: Fee Paid. Parties have been notified. (Ghafoor, Asim) Modified on 5/2/2014 to reflect fee paid(rdj). (Entered: 05/02/2014)

10/15/2014 345 MEMORANDUM OPINION. See text for details. Signed by Judge John D. Bates on 10/15/14. (lcjdb2) (Entered: 10/15/2014)

10/15/2014 346 ORDER adopting in part and modifying in part [332-39, 341-42] special master reports. See text of Order and accompanying Memorandum Opinion

Appendix A

for details. Signed by Judge John D. Bates on 10/15/14. (lcjdb2) (Entered: 10/15/2014)

10/24/2014 348 AMENDED MEMORANDUM OPINION. See text for details. Signed by Judge John D. Bates on 10/24/14. (lcjdb2) (Entered: 10/24/2014)

10/24/2014 349 AMENDED ORDER adopting in part and modifying in part [332-39, 341-42] special master reports. See text of Amended Order and accompanying Amended Memorandum Opinion for details. Signed by Judge John D. Bates on 10/24/14. (lcjdb2) (Entered: 10/24/2014)

12/23/2014 351 NOTICE OF APPEAL TO DC CIRCUIT COURT as to 349 Order by REPUBLIC OF THE SUDAN, MINISTRY OF THE INTERIOR OF THE REPUBLIC OF THE SUDAN, REPUBLIC OF THE SUDAN(Ministry of External Affairs). Filing fee \$ 505, receipt number 0090-3945375. Fee Status: Fee Paid. Parties have been notified. (Ghafoor, Asim) (Entered: 12/23/2014)

Appendix A

- 04/10/2015 360 NOTICE of Appearance by Christopher M. Curran on behalf of MINISTRY OF THE INTERIOR OF THE REPUBLIC OF THE SUDAN, REPUBLIC OF THE SUDAN, REPUBLIC OF THE SUDAN(Ministry of External Affairs) (Curran, Christopher) (Entered: 04/10/2015)
- 04/10/2015 361 NOTICE of Appearance by Nicole Erb on behalf of MINISTRY OF THE INTERIOR OF THE REPUBLIC OF THE SUDAN, REPUBLIC OF THE SUDAN, REPUBLIC OF THE SUDAN(Ministry of External Affairs) (Erb, Nicole) (Entered: 04/10/2015)
- 04/11/2015 362 MOTION to Vacate 305 Order on Motion for Entry of Final Judgment by MINISTRY OF THE INTERIOR OF THE REPUBLIC OF THE SUDAN, REPUBLIC OF THE SUDAN, REPUBLIC OF THE SUDAN(Ministry of External Affairs) (Attachments: # 1 Memorandum in Support, # 2 Text of Proposed Order, # 3 Declaration of Maowia O. Khalid in Support of Motion)(Curran, Christopher) (Entered: 04/11/2015)

Appendix A

04/14/2015 363 NOTICE of Appearance by Claire Angela Delelle on behalf of MINISTRY OF THE INTERIOR OF THE REPUBLIC OF THE SUDAN, REPUBLIC OF THE SUDAN, REPUBLIC OF THE SUDAN, REPUBLIC OF THE SUDAN(Ministry of External Affairs) (Delelle, Claire) (Entered: 04/14/2015)

04/30/2015 367 MOTION to Vacate 349 Order by MINISTRY OF THE INTERIOR OF THE REPUBLIC OF THE SUDAN, REPUBLIC OF THE SUDAN, REPUBLIC OF THE SUDAN, REPUBLIC OF THE SUDAN(Ministry of External Affairs) (Attachments: # 1 Memorandum in Support, # 2 Declaration of Maowia O. Khalid, # 3 Text of Proposed Order) (Curran, Christopher) (Entered: 04/30/2015)

03/23/2016 402 MEMORANDUM OPINION. Signed by Judge John D. Bates on 3/23/2016. (lcjdb2) (Entered: 03/23/2016)

03/23/2016 403 ORDER denying 362 367 Sudan's motions to vacate. See text and accompanying Memorandum Opinion

23a

Appendix A

for details. Signed by Judge John D. Bates on 3/23/2016. (lcjdb2) (Entered: 03/23/2016)

04/08/2016 404 NOTICE OF APPEAL TO DC CIRCUIT COURT as to 403 Order on Motion to Vacate, by REPUBLIC OF THE SUDAN, MINISTRY OF THE INTERIOR OF THE REPUBLIC OF THE SUDAN. Filing fee \$ 505, receipt number 0090-4478469. Fee Status: Fee Paid. Parties have been notified. (Curran, Christopher) (Entered: 04/08/2016)

Appendix A

**RELEVANT DOCKET ENTRIES
FROM THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
CIVIL DOCKET FOR CASE #: 1:08-CV-01349-JDB**

Date Filed	#	Docket Text
08/05/2008	<u>1</u>	COMPLAINT against REPUBLIC OF SUDAN, MINISTRY OF THE INTERIOR OF THE REPUBLIC OF SUDAN, ISLAMIC REPUBLIC OF IRAN, IRANIAN REVOLUTIONARY GUARDS CORPS, IRANIAN MINISTRY OF INFORMATION AND SECURITY (Filing fee \$ 350, receipt number 4616014164) filed by [Plaintiffs] (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Civil Cover Sheet)(tg,) (Entered: 08/06/2008)

09/05/2008	<u>5</u>	FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT against REPUBLIC OF SUDAN, MINISTRY OF THE INTERIOR OF THE REPUBLIC OF SUDAN, ISLAMIC REPUBLIC OF IRAN, IRANIAN REVOLUTIONARY GUARDS CORPS, IRANIAN MINISTRY OF INFORMATION AND SECURITY filed by ALL PLAINTIFFS.(nmw,) (Entered: 09/05/2008)

25a

Appendix A

09/08/2008)

06/04/2010 34 Clerk's ENTRY OF DEFAULT as to REPUBLIC OF SUDAN (ks) (Entered: 06/04/2010)

06/04/2010 35 Clerk's ENTRY OF DEFAULT as to MINISTRY OF THE INTERIOR OF THE REPUBLIC OF SUDAN (ks) (Entered: 06/04/2010)

11/30/2011 54 ORDER entering final judgment in favor of plaintiffs and against defendants. Status conference scheduled for December 19, 2011 at 9:15 a.m. in Courtroom 14. See Order for details. Signed by Judge John D. Bates on 11/28/2011. (lcjdb2) (Entered: 11/30/2011)

11/30/2011 55 MEMORANDUM OPINION. Signed by Judge John D. Bates on 11/28/2011. (lcjdb2) (Entered: 11/30/2011)

07/25/2014 245 ORDER. See text and accompanying Memorandum Opinion for details. Signed by Judge John D. Bates on

Appendix A

7/25/14. (lcjdb2) (Entered: 07/25/2014)

07/25/2014 246 MEMORANDUM OPINION. See text for details. Signed by Judge John D. Bates on 7/25/14. (lcjdb2) (Entered: 07/25/2014)

08/21/2014 250 NOTICE of Appearance by Asim A. Ghafoor on behalf of MINISTRY OF THE INTERIOR OF THE REPUBLIC OF SUDAN, REPUBLIC OF SUDAN, MINISTRY OF THE INTERIOR OF THE REPUBLIC OF THE SUDAN, REPUBLIC OF SUDAN, REPUBLIC OF SUDAN (Ghafoor, Asim) (Entered: 08/21/2014)

08/21/2014 251 NOTICE OF APPEAL TO DC CIRCUIT COURT re 245 & 246 by MINISTRY OF THE INTERIOR OF THE REPUBLIC OF SUDAN, REPUBLIC OF SUDAN, REPUBLIC OF SUDAN, MINISTRY OF THE INTERIOR OF THE REPUBLIC OF THE SUDAN, REPUBLIC OF SUDAN. Filing fee \$ 505, receipt number 0090-3816202. Fee Status: Fee Paid. Parties have been notified. (Ghafoor, Asim) Modified on to add linkage 8/22/2014 (rdj). (Entered: 08/22/2014)

27a

Appendix A

08/21/2014)

04/10/2015 261 NOTICE of Appearance by Christopher M. Curran on behalf of MINISTRY OF THE INTERIOR OF THE REPUBLIC OF SUDAN, REPUBLIC OF SUDAN (Curran, Christopher) (Entered: 04/10/2015)

04/10/2015 262 NOTICE of Appearance by Nicole Erb on behalf of MINISTRY OF THE INTERIOR OF THE REPUBLIC OF SUDAN, REPUBLIC OF SUDAN (Erb, Nicole) (Entered: 04/11/2015)

04/14/2015 263 NOTICE of Appearance by Claire Angela Delelle on behalf of MINISTRY OF THE INTERIOR OF THE REPUBLIC OF SUDAN, REPUBLIC OF SUDAN (Delelle, Claire) (Entered: 04/14/2015)

04/22/2015 264 MOTION to Vacate 245 Order by MINISTRY OF THE INTERIOR OF THE REPUBLIC OF SUDAN, REPUBLIC OF SUDAN (Attachments: # 1 Memorandum in Support, # 2 Declaration of Maowia O. Khalid in Support of Motion, # 3 Text of

Appendix A

Proposed Order)(Curran, Christopher)
(Entered: 04/22/2015)

06/12/2015 266 Memorandum in opposition to re 264
MOTION to Vacate 245 Order filed by
ALL PLAINTIFFS. (Attachments:
1 Text of Proposed Order)(Miller,
Michael) (Entered: 06/12/2015)

06/22/2015 267 REPLY to opposition to motion re 264
MOTION to Vacate 245 Order filed
by MINISTRY OF THE INTERIOR
OF THE REPUBLIC OF SUDAN,
REPUBLIC OF SUDAN. (Curran,
Christopher) (Entered: 06/22/2015)

07/28/2015 273 ORDER of USCA as to (51 in 1:12-cv-
01224-JDB) Notice of Appeal to DC
Circuit Court, filed by MINISTRY OF
THE INTERIOR OF THE REPUBLIC
OF THE SUDAN, REPUBLIC OF
THE SUDAN, (351 in 1:01-cv-02244-
JDB) Notice of Appeal to DC Circuit
Court, filed by MINISTRY OF THE
INTERIOR OF THE REPUBLIC OF
THE SUDAN, REPUBLIC OF THE
SUDAN, (48 in 1:10-cv-00356-JDB)
Notice of Appeal to DC Circuit Court,

Appendix A

filed by REPUBLIC OF SUDAN, MINISTRY OF THE INTERIOR OF THE REPUBLIC OF THE SUDAN, (312 in 1:01-cv-02244-JDB) Notice of Appeal to DC Circuit Court, filed by REPUBLIC OF THE SUDAN, (261 in 1:08-cv-01361-JDB) Notice of Appeal to DC Circuit Court, filed by REPUBLIC OF SUDAN, MINISTRY OF THE INTERIOR OF THE REPUBLIC OF THE SUDAN, (239 in 1:08-cv-01380-JDB) Notice of Appeal to DC Circuit Court, filed by REPUBLIC OF SUDAN, MINISTRY OF THE INTERIOR OF THE REPUBLIC OF THE SUDAN, (251 in 1:08-cv-01349-JDB) Notice of Appeal to DC Circuit Court, filed by REPUBLIC OF SUDAN, MINISTRY OF THE INTERIOR OF THE REPUBLIC OF THE SUDAN, REPUBLIC OF THE SUDAN, MINISTRY OF THE INTERIOR OF THE REPUBLIC OF SUDAN. ORDERED that the motion to hold in abeyance be granted. USCA Case Number 14-5105. (rd) (Entered: 07/30/2015)

03/23/2016 279 MEMORANDUM OPINION. Signed by Judge John D. Bates on 3/23/2016. (lcjdb2) (Entered: 03/23/2016)

Appendix A

- 03/23/2016 280 ORDER denying 264 Sudan's motion to vacate and granting in part and denying in part 269 plaintiffs' motion for leave to file a surreply. See text and accompanying Memorandum Opinion for details. Signed by Judge John D. Bates on 3/23/2016. (lcjdb2) (Entered: 03/23/2016)
- 04/08/2016 281 NOTICE OF APPEAL TO DC CIRCUIT COURT as to 280 Order on Motion to Vacate, Order on Motion for Leave to File,, by MINISTRY OF THE INTERIOR OF THE REPUBLIC OF SUDAN, REPUBLIC OF SUDAN. Filing fee \$ 505, receipt number 0090-4478472. Fee Status: Fee Paid. Parties have been notified. (Curran, Christopher) (Entered: 04/08/2016)

Appendix A

**RELEVANT DOCKET ENTRIES FROM THE
U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
CIVIL DOCKET FOR
CASE #: 1:08-CV-01361-JDB**

Date Filed # Docket Text

08/05/2008 5 COMPLAINT against THE IRANIAN
MINISTRY OF INFORMATION
AND SECURITY, REPUBLIC
OF SUDAN, MINISTRY OF THE
INTERIOR OF THE REPUBLIC
OF THE SUDAN, THE ISLAMIC
REPUBLIC OF IRAN (Filing fee
\$ 350, receipt number 4616014190) filed
by ALL PLAINTIFFS. (Attachments:
1 Civil Cover Sheet, # 2 Exhibit
Plaintiffs' Addresses (Filed Under
Seal))(tr) (Entered: 08/07/2008)

04/22/2009 29 Clerk's ENTRY OF DEFAULT as to
REPUBLIC OF SUDAN, MINISTRY
OF THE INTERIOR OF THE
REPUBLIC OF THE SUDAN (tr)
(Entered: 04/22/2009)

Appendix A

06/26/2009 32 ORDER consolidating cases pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 42(a) for purposes of discovery and trial on the issue of liability only; setting discovery schedule and other miscellaneous deadlines; denying 146 plaintiffs' motion requesting adoption of administrative plan regarding determination of damages without prejudice in Owens v. Republic of Sudan (Civ. A. No. 01-2244); and setting status conference for November 5, 2009 at 9:00 a.m. in Courtroom 8. See Order for details. Signed by Judge John D. Bates on 6/26/2009. (lcjdb2) (Entered: 06/26/2009)

11/30/2011 62 ORDER entering final judgment in favor of plaintiffs and against defendants. Status conference scheduled for December 19, 2011 at 9:15 a.m. in Courtroom 14. See Order for details. Signed by Judge John D. Bates on 11/28/2011. (lcjdb2) (Entered: 11/30/2011)

11/30/2011 63 MEMORANDUM OPINION. Signed by Judge John D. Bates on 11/28/2011.

33a

Appendix A

(lcjdb2) (Entered: 11/30/2011)

02/27/2012 66 O R D E R A D O P T I N G
ADMINISTRATIVE PLAN. See
text of Order for details. Signed by
Judge John D. Bates on 2/27/2012.
(lcjdb2) (Entered: 02/27/2012)

02/27/2012 67 ORDER APPOINTING SPECIAL
MASTERS. See text of Order for details.
Signed by Judge John D. Bates on
2/27/2012. (lcjdb2) (Entered: 02/27/2012)

04/10/2013 73 Report of Special Master, Steven A.
Saltzburg, Master Regarding claims
arising from the death of Francis
Mbogo Njung'E (Attachments: # 1
Exhibit) (td,) (Entered: 04/11/2013)

04/12/2013 MINUTE ORDER: It is hereby
ORDERED that plaintiffs' counsel
shall notify the Court when the final
Special Master Report has been filed
in this case. SO ORDERED. Signed
by Judge John D. Bates on 4/12/2013.
(lcjdb2) (Entered: 04/12/2013)

08/05/2013 74 Report of Special Master (td,)
(Main Document 74 replaced on

Appendix A

8/6/2013) (td,). (Entered: 08/05/2013)

- 09/11/2013 75 CORRECTED Report of Special Master on the Estate and Family of Francis Mbongo Njung'e (Attachments: # 1 Appendix) (td,) (Entered: 09/12/2013)
- 11/05/2013 76 Report of Special Master Steven A. Saltzburg (Attachments: # 1 Appendix) (td,) (Entered: 11/06/2013)
- 11/04/2013 77 Second Corrected on The Estate and Family of Francis Mbogo Njung'e Report of Special Master Steven A. Saltzburg (Attachments: # 1 Appendix) (td,) Modified text on 11/6/2013 (td,). (Entered: 11/06/2013)
- 11/04/2013 78 Corrected Report on Caroline Wanjiru Gichuru of Special Master Steven A. Saltzburg (td,) (Entered: 11/06/2013)
- 11/12/2013 79 Corrected Report of Estate and Survivors of Lawrence Ambrose Gitau Special Master (td,) (Entered: 11/12/2013)
- 11/12/2013 80 Report of Special Master (Ogolla) (td,) (Entered: 11/12/2013)
- 11/12/2013 81 Report of Special Master (Nganga) (td,) (Entered: 11/12/2013)

Appendix A

- 11/12/2013 82 Report of Special Master (Kessy) (td,) (Entered: 11/12/2013)
- 11/12/2013 83 Report of Special Master (Chege) (td,) (Entered: 11/12/2013)
- 11/22/2013 84 Report on Estate of Rachael Mungasia Pussy with Appendix of Special Master (td,) (Entered: 11/22/2013)
- 11/22/2013 85 Report on Daniel Owiti Oloo and Magdaline Owiti November 20 2013 of Special Master (td,) (Entered: 11/22/2013)
- 11/22/2013 86 Report Kivindyo of Special Master (td,) (Entered: 11/22/2013)
- 11/25/2013 87 Report Bonyo of Special Master Aldock (Attachments: # 1 Appendix) (td,) (Entered: 12/04/2013)
- 11/27/2013 88 Report of Special Master Stephen Saltzburg on Michael Ngigi Mworira (td,) (Entered: 12/04/2013)
- 12/03/2013 89 Report of Special Master Aldock on Kiongo (Attachments: # 1 Appendix 1, # 2 Appendix 2) (td,) (Entered: 12/04/2013)
- 12/04/2013 90 Report on Aaron Makau Ndivo and Family of Special Master Steven Saltzburg (td,) (Entered: 12/04/2013)

Appendix A

- 12/04/2013 91 Report on Joseph Ingosi of Special Master Steven Saltzburg (td,) (Entered: 12/04/2013)
- 12/05/2013 92 Report on Frederick Kibodya and Family of Special Master Stephen A. Saltzburg (td,) (Entered: 12/13/2013)
- 01/02/2014 93 Report on Milka Wangari Macharia of Special Master John D. Aldock (td,) (Entered: 01/08/2014)
- 01/13/2014 94 Corrected Report on Daniel Owiti Oloo of Special Master Setphen A. Saltzburg (td,) (Entered: 01/14/2014)
- 01/14/2014 95 Report on Charles Opondo of Special Master John D. Aldock (td,) (Entered: 01/14/2014)
- 01/14/2014 96 Report of Charles Kabui by Special Master Stephen A. Saltzburg (td,) (Entered: 01/22/2014)
- 01/14/2014 97 Report of Barbara and Stephen Muli by Special Master Stephen Saltzburg (td,) (Entered: 01/22/2014)
- 01/14/2014 98 Report of John Nduati and Family by Special Master Stephen Saltzburg (td,) (Entered: 01/22/2014)

Appendix A

- 01/23/2014 99 Report on Barbara Muli and Stephen Muli of Special Master Stephen Saltzburg. Signed by Judge John D. Bates on 1/23/14. (td,) (Entered: 01/27/2014)
- 02/04/2014 100 R E P O R T A N D RECOMMENDATIONS OF SPECIAL MASTER REGARDING CLAIMS OF THE ESTATE AND SURVIVORS OF TONY KIHATO IRUNGU by BRAD PIGOTT (rdj) (Entered: 02/04/2014)
- 02/04/2014 101 R E P O R T A N D RECOMMENDATIONS OF SPECIAL MASTER REGARDING CLAIMS OF THE ESTATE AND SURVIVORS OF FLYDIA MURIKI MA YAKA by BRAD PIGOTT (rdj) (Entered: 02/04/2014)
- 02/04/2014 102 R E P O R T A N D RECOMMENDATIONS OF SPECIAL MASTER REGARDING CLAIMS OF THE ESTATE AND SURVIVORS OF GEOFFREY MOSES NAMAI by BRAD PIGOTT (rdj) (Entered: 02/04/2014)
- 02/04/2014 103 Report of Special Master John D. Aldock on Edward Mwae Muthama (td,) (Entered: 02/05/2014)

Appendix A

- 02/04/2014 104 Report of Special Master John D. Aldock on Charles Mwangi Ndibui (td,) (Entered: 02/05/2014)
- 02/14/2014 105 R E P O R T A N D RECOMMENDATIONS Regarding Claims of the Estate and Survivors of Francis Olewe Ochilo signed by Special Master Brad Pigott on 2/14/14. (ztnr,) (Entered: 02/14/2014)
- 02/25/2014 106 R E P O R T A N D RECOMMENDATIONS Regarding Claims of the Estate and Survivors of Evans Onsongo signed by Special Master Brad Pigott on 2/25/14. (ztnr,) (Entered: 02/25/2014)
- 03/21/2014 107 Report on Jael and Edwin Oyoo of Special Master Steve Saltzburg (td,) (Entered: 03/24/2014)
- 03/26/2014 108 Report on Michael Ikonye Kiarie and Family of Special Master Stephen A. Saltzburg. (td,) (Entered: 03/27/2014)
- 04/22/2014 109 Report on Final Report on Benson Bwaku and Family Special Master Steven A. Saltzburg (td,) (Entered: 04/28/2014)

Appendix A

- 04/22/2014 110 Final Report on Katimba Mohamed Selemani and Family Special Master Steven A. Saltzburg (td,) (Entered: 04/28/2014)
- 04/22/2014 111 Final Report on Estate of Lucy Grace Onono by Special Master Steven A. Saltzburg (td,) (Entered: 04/28/2014)
- 04/22/2014 112 Final Report on Estate of Lucy Nyawida Karigi by Special Master Steven A. Saltzburg (td,) (Main Document 112 replaced on 4/28/2014) (td,). (Entered: 04/28/2014)
- 04/23/2014 113 Final Report on Benson and Phoebe Ndegwa by Special Master Steven (td,) (Entered: 04/28/2014)
- 04/23/2014 114 Final Report on David Kiarie Kibiru and Family by Special Master Steven A. Saltzburg (td,) (Entered: 04/28/2014)
- 04/24/2014 115 Report of the Special Master for the Estate and Survivors of Adams Titus by Special Master Deborah E. Greenspan (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit) (td,) (Entered: 04/28/2014)
- 04/24/2014 116 Report of the Special Master for Caroline Ngugi Kamau by Special

Appendix A

Master Deborah E. Greenspan (td,)
(Entered: 04/28/2014)

- 04/25/2014 117 Report of Kagai by Special Master John D. Aldock (td,) (Entered: 04/28/2014)
- 05/01/2014 118 Report of Report of the Special Master for Plaintiff Belinda Adika by Special Master Deborah Greenspan (td,) (Entered: 05/05/2014)
- 05/01/2014 119 Report of the Special Master Deborah Greenspan for Plaintiff Bernard Macharia (td,) (Entered: 05/05/2014)
- 05/01/2014 120 Report of Special Master Deborah Greenspan for Plaintiff Joshua Mayunzu (td,) (Entered: 05/05/2014)
- 05/01/2014 121 Report of Special Master Deborah Greenspan for Plaintiff Julius Nzivo (td,) (Entered: 05/05/2014)
- 05/01/2014 122 Report of Special Master Deborah Greenspanfor Plaintiffs George Mimba and Spouse (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit 1) (td,) (Entered: 05/05/2014)
- 05/01/2014 123 Report of Special Master Deborah Greenspan for Plaintiffs Jotham Godia and Spouse (td,) (Entered: 05/05/2014)

Appendix A

- 05/01/2014 124 Report of Special Master Deborah Greenspan for plaintiffs Patrick Okech and spouse (td,) (Entered: 05/05/2014)
- 04/29/2014 125 Report of Tibruss Minja by Special Master by John D. Aldock (td,) (Entered: 05/05/2014)
- 05/01/2014 126 Report of Special Master Steven Saltzburg Final Report on Estate of Phaedra Vrontamitis (td,) (Entered: 05/05/2014)
- 05/05/2014 127 Report of Special Master Steven Saltzburg Final Report on Paune Abdallah and Family (td,) (Entered: 05/05/2014)
- 05/05/2014 128 Report of Special Master by Steven Saltzburg on Julius Nuyamweno (td,) (Entered: 05/05/2014)
- 05/08/2014 129 Report of Special Master John D. Aldock on the Estate and Survivors of Francis Watoro Maina (td,) (Entered: 05/08/2014)
- 05/08/2014 130 Report of Special Master John D. Aldock on Simon Ngure (td,) (Entered: 05/08/2014)

Appendix A

- 05/19/2014 131 Report of Special Master Ken Adams on the Estate and Survivors of Vincent Nyoike Kamau. (td,) (Entered: 05/22/2014)
- 05/22/2014 132 Report of Special Master John D. Aldock on Nicholas Mutiso (td,) (Entered: 05/22/2014)
- 05/21/2014 133 Report of Special Master Kenneth Adams on Elizabeth Kiato; Charity Kiato (td,) (Entered: 05/22/2014)
- 05/21/2014 134 Report of Special Master Kenneth Adams on Raphael Peter Munguti (td,) (Entered: 05/22/2014)
- 05/22/2014 135 Report of Special Master John Aldock on Evitta Francis Kwimbere (td,) (Entered: 05/22/2014)
- 05/22/2014 136 Report of Special Master Kenneth Adams on Mary, John, Francis, Andrew and Gideon Ofisi (td,) (Entered: 05/22/2014)
- 05/27/2014 137 Report of Special Master Brad Pigott regarding the claims of the Estate of Survivors of Eric Abur Onyango (td,) (Entered: 05/28/2014)

Appendix A

- 05/29/2014 138 Report of Special Master C. Jackson Williams regarding Kaka Abubakar Iddl (td,) (Entered: 05/30/2014)
- 05/29/2014 139 Report of Special Master C. Jackson Williams regarding John Kiswilli and Wife (td,) (Entered: 05/30/2014)
- 05/29/2014 140 Report of Special Master by C. Jackson Williams regarding Polychep Odihambo (td,) (Entered: 05/30/2014)
- 06/03/2014 141 Report of Special Master Stephen A. Saltzburg on Rose and Patrick Nyetete (td,) (Entered: 06/03/2014)
- 06/03/2014 142 Report of Special Master C. Jackson Williams regarding Charles Mwaka Mulwa (td,) (Entered: 06/03/2014)
- 06/04/2014 143 Report of Special Master C. Jackson Williams regarding Victor Mpoto and Family (td,) (Entered: 06/05/2014)
- 06/04/2014 144 Report of Special Master John D. Aldock regarding Julius Ogoro (td,) (Entered: 06/05/2014)
- 06/04/2014 145 Report of Special Master John D. Aldock regarding Trusha Patel (td,) (Entered: 06/05/2014)

Appendix A

- 06/05/2014 146 CORRECTED Report of Special Master C. Jackson Williams regarding Victor Mpoto (td,) (Entered: 06/05/2014)
- 06/09/2014 147 Report of Special Master Oliver E. Diaz, Jr. regarding Stella Mbugua (td,) (Entered: 06/10/2014)
- 06/09/2014 148 Report of Special Master Oliver E. Diaz, Jr. regarding Japeth Godia (td,) (Entered: 06/10/2014)
- 06/10/2014 149 Report of Special Master Oliver E. Diaz Jr. regarding Sajjad Gulamali (td,) (Entered: 06/10/2014)
- 06/09/2014 150 Report of Special Master Oliver E. Diaz Jr. regarding Joseph Gathunga (td,) (Entered: 06/10/2014)
- 06/09/2014 151 Report of Special Master Oliver E. Diaz Jr. regarding Michael Mwangi (td,) (Main Document 151 replaced on 6/13/2014) (td,). (Entered: 06/10/2014)
- 06/09/2014 152 Report of Special Master Oliver E. Diaz Jr. regarding Mary Muiriri (td,) (Entered: 06/10/2014)
- 06/09/2014 153 Report of Special Master Oliver E. Diaz Jr. regarding Wellington Mudeche Oluoma (td,) (Entered: 06/10/2014)

Appendix A

- 06/09/2014 154 Report of Special Master Oliver E. Diaz Jr. regarding Sammy Mwangi (td,) (Entered: 06/10/2014)
- 06/09/2014 155 Report of Special Master Oliver E. Diaz Jr. regarding Henry Aliviza Shitiavai (td,) (Entered: 06/10/2014)
- 06/09/2014 156 Report of Special Master Oliver E. Diaz Jr. regarding Jacinta Wahome (td,) (Entered: 06/10/2014)
- 06/12/2014 157 Report of Special Master C. Jackson Williams regarding Irene Kung'u and Family (td,) (Entered: 06/13/2014)
- 06/12/2014 158 Report of Special Master C. Jackson Williams regarding Mary Gitonga and Husband (td,) (Entered: 06/13/2014)
- 06/16/2014 159 Report of Special Master Brad Pigott regardings claims of Kirumba Mukuria (td,) (Entered: 06/16/2014)
- 06/16/2014 160 Report of Special Master Brad Pigott regarding claims of Techonia Owiti (td,) (Entered: 06/16/2014)
- 06/16/2014 161 Report of Special Master Brad Pigott regarding claims of Joma Maiko Boke and Family Members (td,) (Entered: 06/16/2014)

Appendix A

- 06/16/2014 162 Report of Special Master Brad Pigott regarding claims of Francis Maina Ndibui and Winfred Maina (td,) (Entered: 06/16/2014)
- 06/16/2014 163 Amended Report of Special Master C. Jackson Williams regarding Charles Mwaka Mulwa and Family (td,) Modified on 6/16/2014 (td,). (Entered: 06/16/2014)
- 06/16/2014 164 Report of Special Master C. Jackson Williams regarding Humphrey Kibiru and wife. (td,) (Entered: 06/16/2014)
- 06/18/2014 165 Report of Special Master C. Jackson Williams regarding Harrison Klman and Wife (td,) (Entered: 06/18/2014)
- 06/19/2014 166 Report of Special Master Brad Pigott regarding claims of Gad Gideon Achola (td,) (Entered: 06/24/2014)
- 06/19/2014 167 Report of Special Master Brad Pigott regarding claims of Christant Hiza and Family. (td,) (Entered: 06/24/2014)
- 06/19/2014 168 Report of Special Master Brad Pigott regarding claims of Elizabeth Kibue (td,) (Entered: 06/24/2014)

Appendix A

- 06/19/2014 169 Report of Special Master Brad Pigott regarding claims of Marini Kirima (td,) (Entered: 06/24/2014)
- 06/19/2014 170 Report of Special Master Brad Pigott regarding claims of Peter Kunigo (td,) (Entered: 06/24/2014)
- 06/19/2014 171 Report of Special Master Brad Pigott regarding claims of Zephania J. Mboge (td,) (Entered: 06/24/2014)
- 06/19/2014 172 Report of Special Master Bradd Pigott regarding claims of Emily Minayo and Family (td,) (Entered: 06/24/2014)
- 06/19/2014 173 Report of Special Master Brad Pigott regarding claims of Joash and Lydiah Okindo (td,) (Entered: 06/24/2014)
- 06/24/2014 174 Report of Special Master Kenneth L. Adams regarding Rukia Wanjiru Ali and Badawy Itati Ali (td,) (Entered: 06/24/2014)
- 06/24/2014 175 Report of Special Master Kenneth L. Adams regarding Jairus David Aura (td,) (Entered: 06/24/2014)
- 06/24/2014 176 Report of Special Master Kenneth L. Adams regarding Bernard Mutunga Kaswii (td,) (Entered: 06/24/2014)

Appendix A

- 06/24/2014 177 Report of Special Master Kenneth L. Adams regarding Stanley Kinyua Macharia and Nancy Macharia (td,) (Entered: 06/24/2014)
- 06/24/2014 178 Report of Special Master Kenneth L. Adams regarding Hosiana Mbagu and Mary Esther Kiusa (td,) (Entered: 06/24/2014)
- 06/24/2014 179 Report of Special Master Kenneth L. Adams regarding Peter Ngigi Mugo (td,) (Entered: 06/24/2014)
- 06/24/2014 180 Report of Special Master Kenneth L. Adams regarding Margaret Waithira Ndungu, Leonard Rajab Waithira, Grace Wanjiru Waithira and Joseph Ngungu Waithira (td,) (Entered: 06/24/2014)
- 06/24/2014 181 Report of Special Master Kenneth L. Adams regarding Samwel Oriaro, Betty Oriaro and Jeff Rabar (td,) (Entered: 06/24/2014)
- 06/25/2014 182 Amended Report of Special Master Kenneth L. Adams regarding Boniface Chege and Lucy Chege (td,) (Entered: 07/02/2014)

Appendix A

- 06/25/2014 183 Report of Special Master Kenneth L. Adams regarding Beatrice Atinga; Sammy Okere; Victor Adeka and Purity Mahonja (td,) (Entered: 07/02/2014)
- 06/25/2014 184 Report of Special Master Kenneth L. Adams regarding Gaudens Thomas Kunambi; Joyce Thadei Loko; Selina Gaudens and Donnie Gaudens (td,) (Entered: 07/02/2014)
- 06/25/2014 185 Report of Special Master Kenneth L. Adams regarding Livingstone Busera Madahana; Judith Namdi Busera; Levis Madahana Busera; Christine Kawai Busera; Emmanuel Musamabyi Busera (td,) (Entered: 07/02/2014)
- 06/25/2014 186 Report of Special Master Kenneth L. Adams regarding August Maffry; Alison Maffry; Caroline Maffry; Alice Mary Talbot (td,) (Entered: 07/02/2014)
- 06/25/2014 187 Report of Special Master Kenneth L. Adams regarding Geoffrey Tupper; Frida Yohan Mtitu; Shadrack Tupper; Agnes Senga Geoffrey Tupper (td,) (Entered: 07/02/2014)
- 06/25/2014 188 Report of Special Master Brad Pigott regarding Joan Adundo and Family (td,) (Entered: 07/02/2014)

Appendix A

- 06/25/2014 189 Report of Special Master Brad Pigott regarding Zackaria Musalia Atinga (td,) (Entered: 07/02/2014)
- 06/25/2014 190 Report of Special Master Brad Pigott regarding Menelik Kwamla Makonnen (td,) (Entered: 07/02/2014)
- 06/25/2014 191 Report of Special Master Brad Pigott regarding Tobias Oyanda Otieno (td,) (Entered: 07/02/2014)
- 06/25/2014 192 Report of Special Master Brad Pigott regarding Wycliffe Okello Khabuchi and Family (td,) (Entered: 07/02/2014)
- 07/02/2014 193 Amended Report of Special Master Oliver E. Diaz regarding Japeth Godia (td,) (Entered: 07/02/2014)
- 07/02/2014 194 Amended Report of Special Master regarding Sajjad Gulamali (td,) (Entered: 07/02/2014)
- 07/02/2014 195 Amended Report of Special Master Michael Mwangi (td,) (Entered: 07/02/2014)
- 07/02/2014 196 Amended Report of Special Master Oliver E. Diaz regarding Mary Muiriri (td,) (Entered: 07/02/2014)

Appendix A

- 07/02/2014 197 Amended Report of Special Master Oliver E. Diaz regarding Wellington Mudeche Oluoma (td,) (Entered: 07/02/2014)
- 07/02/2014 198 Amended Report of Special Master Oliver E. Diaz regarding Stella Mbugua (td,) (Entered: 07/02/2014)
- 07/02/2014 199 Amended Report of Special Master Sammy Mwangi (td,) (Entered: 07/02/2014)
- 07/02/2014 200 Amended Report of Special Master Oliver E. Diaz regarding Henry Aliviza Shitiavai (td,) (Entered: 07/02/2014)
- 07/02/2014 201 Amended Report of Special Master Oliver E. Diaz regarding Jacinta Wahome (td,) (Entered: 07/02/2014)
- 07/02/2014 202 Amended Report of Special Master Oliver E. Diaz regarding Joseph Gathunga (td,) (Entered: 07/02/2014)
- 07/02/2014 203 Report of Special Master Oliver E. Diaz regarding Enos Nzalwa (td,) (Entered: 07/02/2014)
- 07/02/2014 204 Report of Special Master Oliver E. Diaz regarding Caroline Okech (td,) (Entered: 07/02/2014)

Appendix A

- 07/02/2014 205 Report of Special Master Oliver E. Diaz regarding Charles Mungoma Olambo (td,) (Entered: 07/02/2014)
- 07/02/2014 206 Report of Special Master Oliver E. Diaz regarding Caroline Opati (td,) (Entered: 07/02/2014)
- 07/02/2014 207 Report of Special Master Oliver E. Diaz regarding Hindu Omari Idi (td,) (Entered: 07/02/2014)
- 07/02/2014 208 Report of Special Master Oliver E. Diaz regarding Hesbon Lihanda and Family (td,) (Entered: 07/02/2014)
- 07/02/2014 209 Report of Special Master Oliver E. Diaz regarding Erastus Ndeda and Family (td,) (Entered: 07/02/2014)
- 07/02/2014 210 Report of Special Master Oliver E. Diaz regarding Milly Amduso and Family (td,) (Entered: 07/02/2014)
- 07/02/2014 211 Report of Special Master Oliver E. Diaz regarding Estate of Bakari Nyumbu and Surviving Family (td,) (Entered: 07/02/2014)
- 07/02/2014 212 Report of Special Master Oliver E. Diaz regarding Mosed Kinyua and Family (td,) (Entered: 07/02/2014)

Appendix A

- 07/02/2014 213 Report of Special Master Oliver E. Diaz regarding James Ndeda and Family (td,) (Entered: 07/02/2014)
- 07/02/2014 214 Report of Special Master Oliver E. Diaz regarding Cornelius Kebungo and Spouse (td,) (Entered: 07/02/2014)
- 07/02/2014 215 Report of Special Master Oliver E. Diaz regarding Belinda Chaka and Family (td,) (Entered: 07/02/2014)
- 07/02/2014 216 Report of Special Master Oliver E. Diaz regarding Ramadhani Mahundi and Surviving Family (td,) (Entered: 07/02/2014)
- 07/02/2014 217 Report of Special Master Oliver E. Diaz regarding Valerie Alison Nair and Family (td,) (Entered: 07/02/2014)
- 07/02/2014 218 Report of Special Master Oliver E. Diaz regarding Clifford Tarimo and Children (td,) (Entered: 07/02/2014)
- 07/02/2014 219 Report of Special Master Oliver E. Diaz regarding Dixon Indiya (td,) (Entered: 07/02/2014)
- 07/02/2014 220 Report of Special Master Oliver E. Diaz regarding Edwin Omori and Surviving Family (td,) (Entered: 07/02/2014)

Appendix A

- 07/02/2014 221 Report of Special Master Oliver E. Diaz regarding Geoffrey Mulu Kalio and Surviving Family (td,) (Entered: 07/02/2014)
- 07/02/2014 222 Report of Special Master Oliver E. Diaz regarding Eliya Elisha Paul (td,) (Entered: 07/02/2014)
- 07/02/2014 223 Report of Special Master Oliver E. Diaz regarding Francis Ndungu Mbugua (td,) (Entered: 07/02/2014)
- 07/02/2014 224 Report of Special Master Oliver E. Diaz regarding Nafisa Malik (td,) (Entered: 07/02/2014)
- 07/02/2014 225 Report of Special Master Oliver E. Diaz regarding Nafisa Malik (td,) (Entered: 07/02/2014)
- 07/02/2014 226 Report of Special Master Oliver E. Diaz regarding Abdallah Mnyoyla (td,) (Entered: 07/02/2014)
- 07/02/2014 227 Report of Special Master Oliver E. Diaz regarding Dominic Musyoka Kithuva (td,) (Entered: 07/02/2014)
- 07/02/2014 228 Report of Special Master Oliver E. Diaz regarding charles Mwirigi Nkanatha (td,) (Entered: 07/02/2014)

Appendix A

- 07/02/2014 229 Report of Special Master Oliver E. Diaz regarding Hilario Ambrose Fernandes (td,) (Entered: 07/02/2014)
- 07/02/2014 230 Report of Special Master Oliver E. Diaz regarding Doreen Oport and Family (td,) (Entered: 07/02/2014)
- 07/02/2014 231 Report of Special Master Oliver E. Diaz regarding Justina Mdobilu and Family (td,) (Entered: 07/02/2014)
- 07/02/2014 232 Report of Special Master Oliver E. Diaz regarding Gideon Maritim and Family (td,) (Entered: 07/02/2014)
- 07/02/2014 233 Report of Special Master Oliver E. Diaz regarding Gerald Bochar and Family (td,) (Entered: 07/02/2014)
- 07/02/2014 234 Report of Special Master Oliver E. Diaz regarding Priscah Owino and Family (td,) (Entered: 07/02/2014)
- 07/02/2014 235 Report of Special Master Oliver E. Diaz regarding Estate and Survivors of Sally Cecilia Mamboleo (td,) (Entered: 07/02/2014)
- 07/08/2014 236 Amended Report of Special Master Stephen A. Saltzburg regarding claims of Joan Adundo and Family (td,)

Appendix A

Modified date filed on 7/8/2014 (td,).
(Entered: 07/08/2014)

- 07/07/2014 237 Amended Report of Special Master Steven A. Saltzburg regarding claims of children of Joma Matiko Boke (td,) (Entered: 07/08/2014)
- 07/07/2014 238 Report of Special Master C. Jackson Williams regarding Blasio Kubai and Family (td,) (Entered: 07/08/2014)
- 07/07/2014 239 Report of Special Master C. Jackson Williams regarding Estate of Frederick Maloba (td,) (Entered: 07/08/2014)
- 07/07/2014 240 Report of Special Master C. Jackson Williams regarding Leonard Shinengah (td,) (Entered: 07/08/2014)
- 07/07/2014 241 Report of Special Master C. Jackson Williams regarding Estate of Loise Kuya (td,) (Entered: 07/08/2014)
- 07/07/2014 242 Report of Special Master C. Jackson Williams regarding Charles Ochola and wife (td,) Modified text on 7/8/2014 (td,). (Entered: 07/08/2014)
- 07/10/2014 243 Amended Report of Special Master C. Jackson Williams regarding Estate of Loise Kuya (td,) (Entered: 07/10/2014)

Appendix A

- 07/10/2014 244 Report of Special Master C. Jackson Williams regarding Estate of Hesbon Bulimu (td,) (Entered: 07/10/2014)
- 07/10/2014 245 Report of Special Master Oliver E. Diaz regarding Monica Wangari Munyori (td,) (Entered: 07/11/2014)
- 07/08/2014 246 Report of Special Master C. Jack Williams regarding Estate of Josiah Owuor (td,) Modified date filed on 7/11/2014 (td,). (Entered: 07/11/2014)
- 07/11/2014 247 Amended Report of Special Master C. Jackson Williams regarding Estate and Survivors of Vincent Kamau Nyoike (td,) (Entered: 07/11/2014)
- 07/11/2014 248 Report of Special Master C. Jackson Williams regarding Vincent Kamau Nyoike (td,) (Entered: 07/14/2014)
- 07/14/2014 249 Amended Report of Special Master John D. Aldock regarding Mary Ofisi, John Ofisi, Francis Ofisi, Andrew Ofisi and Gideon Ofisi. (td,) (Entered: 07/14/2014)
- 07/14/2014 250 Amended Report of Special Master Deborah E. Greenspan regarding Belinda Chaka and Family (Attachments: # 1 Affidavit) (td,) (Entered: 07/14/2014)

Appendix A

- 07/09/2014 251 Report of Special Master C. Jackson Williams regarding Estate of Peter Macharia (td,) (Entered: 07/14/2014)
- 07/09/2014 252 Report of Special Master C. Jackson Williams regarding Elizabeth Nzaku (td,) (Entered: 07/14/2014)
- 07/16/2014 253 Report of Special Master John D. Aldock regarding August Maffry; Alison Maffry; Caroline Maffry and Alice-Mary Talbot (td,) (Entered: 07/16/2014)
- ***
- 07/25/2014 254 ORDER. See text and accompanying Memorandum Opinion for details. Signed by Judge John D. Bates on 7/25/14. (lcjdb2) (Entered: 07/25/2014)
- 07/25/2014 255 MEMORANDUM OPINION. See text for details. Signed by Judge John D. Bates on 7/25/14. (lcjdb2) (Entered: 07/25/2014)
- ***
- 08/21/2014 259 NOTICE of Appearance by Asim A. Ghafoor on behalf of MINISTRY OF THE INTERIOR OF THE REPUBLIC OF SUDAN, REPUBLIC

59a

Appendix A

OF SUDAN, MINISTRY OF THE
INTERIOR OF THE REPUBLIC
OF THE SUDAN, REPUBLIC OF
SUDAN, REPUBLIC OF SUDAN
(Ghafoor, Asim) (Entered: 08/21/2014)

08/23/2014 261 NOTICE OF APPEAL TO DC
CIRCUIT COURT re 254 & 255 by
REPUBLIC OF SUDAN, MINISTRY
OF THE INTERIOR OF THE
REPUBLIC OF THE SUDAN.
Filing fee \$ 505, receipt number 0090-
3817847. Fee Status: Fee Paid. Parties
have been notified. (Ghafoor, Asim)
Modified on 8/25/2014 to add linkage
(rdj). (Entered: 08/23/2014)

11/05/2014 270 NOTICE of Service of Default
Judgment on Sudanese Defendants by
ALL PLAINTIFFS (Attachments: #
1 Exhibit 1, # 2 Exhibit 2, # 3 Exhibit
3, # 4 Exhibit 4)(MacAllister, Edward)
(Entered: 11/05/2014)

04/10/2015 281 NOTICE of Appearance by
Christopher M. Curran on behalf of
MINISTRY OF THE INTERIOR OF

Appendix A

THE REPUBLIC OF THE SUDAN,
REPUBLIC OF SUDAN (Curran,
Christopher) (Entered: 04/10/2015)

04/10/2015 282 NOTICE of Appearance by Nicole Erb on behalf of MINISTRY OF THE INTERIOR OF THE REPUBLIC OF THE SUDAN, REPUBLIC OF SUDAN (Erb, Nicole) (Entered: 04/10/2015)

04/14/2015 283 NOTICE of Appearance by Claire Angela Delelle on behalf of MINISTRY OF THE INTERIOR OF THE REPUBLIC OF THE SUDAN, REPUBLIC OF SUDAN (Delelle, Claire) (Entered: 04/14/2015)

04/30/2015 285 MOTION to Vacate 254 Order by MINISTRY OF THE INTERIOR OF THE REPUBLIC OF THE SUDAN, REPUBLIC OF SUDAN (Attachments: # 1 Memorandum in Support, # 2 Declaration of Maowia O. Khalid, # 3 Text of Proposed Order) (Curran, Christopher) (Entered: 04/30/2015)

Appendix A

06/12/2015 288 Memorandum in opposition to re 285 MOTION to Vacate 254 Order filed by ALL PLAINTIFFS. (Attachments: # 1 Text of Proposed Order, # 2 Exhibit 1, # 3 Exhibit 2, # 4 Exhibit 3, # 5 Exhibit 4, # 6 Exhibit 5, # 7 Exhibit 6, # 8 Exhibit 7, # 9 Exhibit 8, # 10 Exhibit 9, # 11 Exhibit 10, # 12 Exhibit 11, # 13 Exhibit 12, # 14 Exhibit 13, # 15 Exhibit 14)(Perles, Steven) (Entered: 06/12/2015)

06/22/2015 291 REPLY to opposition to motion re 285 MOTION to Vacate 254 Order filed by MINISTRY OF THE INTERIOR OF THE REPUBLIC OF THE SUDAN, REPUBLIC OF SUDAN. (Curran, Christopher) (Entered: 06/22/2015)

07/28/2015 298 ORDER of USCA as to (51 in 1:12-cv-01224-JDB) Notice of Appeal to DC Circuit Court, filed by MINISTRY OF THE INTERIOR OF THE REPUBLIC OF THE SUDAN, REPUBLIC OF THE SUDAN, (351 in 1:01-cv-02244-JDB) Notice of Appeal to DC Circuit Court, filed by MINISTRY OF THE INTERIOR OF THE REPUBLIC OF THE SUDAN, REPUBLIC OF THE

Appendix A

SUDAN, (48 in 1:10-cv-00356-JDB) Notice of Appeal to DC Circuit Court, filed by REPUBLIC OF SUDAN, MINISTRY OF THE INTERIOR OF THE REPUBLIC OF THE SUDAN, (312 in 1:01-cv-02244-JDB) Notice of Appeal to DC Circuit Court, filed by REPUBLIC OF THE SUDAN, (261 in 1:08-cv-01361-JDB) Notice of Appeal to DC Circuit Court, filed by REPUBLIC OF SUDAN, MINISTRY OF THE INTERIOR OF THE REPUBLIC OF THE SUDAN, (239 in 1:08-cv-01380-JDB) Notice of Appeal to DC Circuit Court, filed by REPUBLIC OF SUDAN, MINISTRY OF THE INTERIOR OF THE REPUBLIC OF THE SUDAN, (251 in 1:08-cv-01349-JDB) Notice of Appeal to DC Circuit Court, filed by REPUBLIC OF SUDAN, MINISTRY OF THE INTERIOR OF THE REPUBLIC OF THE SUDAN, REPUBLIC OF THE SUDAN, MINISTRY OF THE INTERIOR OF THE REPUBLIC OF SUDAN. ORDERED that the motion to hold in abeyance be granted. USCA Case Number 14-5105. (rd) (Entered: 07/30/2015)

63a

Appendix A

- 03/23/2016 305 MEMORANDUM OPINION. Signed by Judge John D. Bates on 3/23/2016. (lcjdb2) (Entered: 03/23/2016)
- 03/23/2016 306 ORDER denying 285 Sudan's motion to vacate and granting in part and denying in part 294 plaintiffs' motion for leave to file a surreply. See text and accompanying Memorandum Opinion for details. Signed by Judge John D. Bates on 3/23/2016. (lcjdb2) (Entered: 03/23/2016)

- 04/08/2016 308 NOTICE OF APPEAL TO DC CIRCUIT COURT as to 306 Order on Motion to Vacate, Order on Motion for Order,, by REPUBLIC OF SUDAN, MINISTRY OF THE INTERIOR OF THE REPUBLIC OF THE SUDAN. Filing fee \$ 505, receipt number 0090-4478443. Fee Status: Fee Paid. Parties have been notified. (Curran, Christopher) (Entered: 04/08/2016)

Appendix A

**RELEVANT DOCKET ENTRIES
FROM THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
CIVIL DOCKET FOR CASE #: 1:08-CV-01380-JDB**

Date Filed	#	Docket Text
08/07/2008	<u>3</u>	COMPLAINT against REPUBLIC OF SUDAN, MINISTRY OF THE INTERIOR OF THE REPUBLIC OF THE SUDAN, ISLAMIC REPUBLIC OF IRAN, IRANIAN REVOLUTIONARY GUARDS CORPS, IRANIAN MINISTRY OF INFORMATION AND SECURITY (Filing fee \$ 350, receipt number 4616014248) filed by [Plaintiffs] (Attachments: # 1 Civil Cover Sheet) (td,) (Entered: 08/11/2008)

05/25/2010	<u>19</u>	ORDER granting <u>18</u> plaintiffs' motion to consolidate. See Order for details. Signed by Judge John D. Bates on 5/25/2010. (lcjdb2) (Entered: 05/25/2010)
------------	-----------	---

65a

Appendix A

06/02/2010 23 Clerk's ENTRY OF DEFAULT as to
MINISTRY OF THE INTERIOR OF
THE REPUBLIC OF THE SUDAN,
REPUBLIC OF SUDAN (znmw,)
(Entered: 06/02/2010)

11/30/2011 41 ORDER entering final judgment
in favor of plaintiffs and against
defendants. Status conference
scheduled for December 19, 2011 at
9:15 a.m. in Courtroom 14. See Order
for details. Signed by Judge John D.
Bates on 11/28/2011. (lcjdb2) (Entered:
11/30/2011)

11/30/2011 42 MEMORANDUM OPINION. Signed
by Judge John D. Bates on 11/28/2011.
(lcjdb2) (Entered: 11/30/2011)

07/25/2014 231 ORDER. See text and accompanying
Memorandum Opinion for details.
Signed by Judge John D. Bates on
7/25/14. (lcjdb2) (Entered: 07/25/2014)

Appendix A

07/25/2014 233 MEMORANDUM OPINION. See text for details. Signed by Judge John D. Bates on 7/25/14. (lcjdb2) (Entered: 07/25/2014)

08/21/2014 237 NOTICE of Appearance by Asim A. Ghafoor on behalf of MINISTRY OF THE INTERIOR OF THE REPUBLIC OF SUDAN, REPUBLIC OF SUDAN, MINISTRY OF THE INTERIOR OF THE REPUBLIC OF THE SUDAN, REPUBLIC OF SUDAN, REPUBLIC OF SUDAN (Ghafoor, Asim) (Entered: 08/21/2014)

08/23/2014 239 NOTICE OF APPEAL TO DC CIRCUIT COURT re 231 & 233 by REPUBLIC OF SUDAN, MINISTRY OF THE INTERIOR OF THE REPUBLIC OF THE SUDAN. Filing fee \$ 505, receipt number 0090-3817849. Fee Status: Fee Paid. Parties have been notified. (Ghafoor, Asim) Modified on 8/25/2014 to add linkage (rdj). (Entered: 08/23/2014)

67a

Appendix A

- 04/10/2015 249 NOTICE of Appearance by Nicole Erb on behalf of MINISTRY OF THE INTERIOR OF THE REPUBLIC OF THE SUDAN, REPUBLIC OF SUDAN (Erb, Nicole) (Entered: 04/10/2015)
- 04/10/2015 250 NOTICE of Appearance by Christopher M. Curran on behalf of MINISTRY OF THE INTERIOR OF THE REPUBLIC OF THE SUDAN, REPUBLIC OF SUDAN (Curran, Christopher) (Entered: 04/10/2015)
- 04/14/2015 251 NOTICE of Appearance by Claire Angela DeLelle on behalf of MINISTRY OF THE INTERIOR OF THE REPUBLIC OF THE SUDAN, REPUBLIC OF SUDAN (DeLelle, Claire) (Entered: 04/14/2015)
- 04/22/2015 252 MOTION to Vacate 231 Order by MINISTRY OF THE INTERIOR OF THE REPUBLIC OF THE SUDAN, REPUBLIC OF SUDAN (Attachments: # 1 Memorandum in Support, # 2 Declaration of Maowia O. Khalid in Support of Motion, # 3 Text of Proposed Order)(Curran, Christopher) (Entered: 04/22/2015)

Appendix A

- 06/12/2015 254 Memorandum in opposition to re 252 MOTION to Vacate 231 Order filed by ALL PLAINTIFFS. (Attachments: # 1 Text of Proposed Order)(Miller, Michael) (Entered: 06/12/2015)
- 06/22/2015 255 REPLY to opposition to motion re 252 MOTION to Vacate 231 Order filed by MINISTRY OF THE INTERIOR OF THE REPUBLIC OF THE SUDAN, REPUBLIC OF SUDAN. (Curran, Christopher) (Entered: 06/22/2015)
- ***
- 07/28/2015 261 ORDER of USCA as to (51 in 1:12-cv-01224-JDB) Notice of Appeal to DC Circuit Court, filed by MINISTRY OF THE INTERIOR OF THE REPUBLIC OF THE SUDAN, REPUBLIC OF THE SUDAN, (351 in 1:01-cv-02244-JDB) Notice of Appeal to DC Circuit Court, filed by MINISTRY OF THE INTERIOR OF THE REPUBLIC OF THE SUDAN, REPUBLIC OF THE SUDAN, (48 in 1:10-cv-00356-JDB) Notice of Appeal to DC Circuit Court, filed by REPUBLIC OF SUDAN, MINISTRY OF THE INTERIOR OF THE REPUBLIC OF THE SUDAN, (312 in 1:01-cv-02244-JDB) Notice of Appeal to DC Circuit Court, filed by

Appendix A

REPUBLIC OF THE SUDAN, (261 in 1:08-cv-01361-JDB) Notice of Appeal to DC Circuit Court, filed by REPUBLIC OF SUDAN, MINISTRY OF THE INTERIOR OF THE REPUBLIC OF THE SUDAN, (239 in 1:08-cv-01380-JDB) Notice of Appeal to DC Circuit Court, filed by REPUBLIC OF SUDAN, MINISTRY OF THE INTERIOR OF THE REPUBLIC OF THE SUDAN, (251 in 1:08-cv-01349-JDB) Notice of Appeal to DC Circuit Court, filed by REPUBLIC OF SUDAN, MINISTRY OF THE INTERIOR OF THE REPUBLIC OF THE SUDAN, REPUBLIC OF THE SUDAN, MINISTRY OF THE INTERIOR OF THE REPUBLIC OF SUDAN. ORDERED that the motion to hold in abeyance be granted. USCA Case Number 14-5105. (rd) (Entered: 07/30/2015)

09/22/2015 264 CERTIFICATE OF CLERK of mailing two copies of the default judgment, order, notice of default judgment and related papers, together with a translation of each into the official language of the foreign state on 9/22/15, by certified mail, return receipt

Appendix A

requested, to the U. S. Department of State, Edward Betancourt, Director, Office of Policy Review and Interagency Liaison Overseas Citizens Services, 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, 4th Floor, Washington, DC 20520, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1608(a)(4). (Attachments: # 1 Certificate of Mail Receipts for case numbers 08-1361, 12-1224, 08-1380 and 08-1349) (td) (Entered: 11/09/2015)

- 03/23/2016 267 MEMORANDUM OPINION. Signed by Judge John D. Bates on 3/23/2016. (lcjdb2) (Entered: 03/23/2016)
- 03/23/2016 268 ORDER denying 252 Sudan's motion to vacate and granting in part and denying in part 257 plaintiffs' motion for leave to file a surreply. See text and accompanying Memorandum Opinion for details. Signed by Judge John D. Bates on 3/23/2016. (lcjdb2) (Entered: 03/23/2016)

71a

Appendix A

04/08/2016 269 NOTICE OF APPEAL TO DC
CIRCUIT COURT as to 268 Order
on Motion to Vacate, Order on Motion
for Leave to File,, by REPUBLIC
OF SUDAN, MINISTRY OF THE
INTERIOR OF THE REPUBLIC OF
THE SUDAN. Filing fee \$ 505, receipt
number 0090-4478463. Fee Status:
Fee Paid. Parties have been notified.
(Curran, Christopher) (Entered:
04/08/2016)

Appendix A

**RELEVANT DOCKET ENTRIES
FROM THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
CIVIL DOCKET FOR CASE #: 1:12-CV-01224-JDB**

Date Filed	#	Docket Text
07/24/2012	<u>1</u>	COMPLAINT by MONICAH OKOBA OPATI, et al. against All Defendants (Filing fee \$ 350 receipt number 0090-3014006) filed by MONICAH OKOBA OPATI. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Summons, # <u>2</u> Summons, # <u>3</u> Summons, # <u>4</u> Summons, # <u>5</u> Civil Cover Sheet) (Perles, Steven) (Additional attachment(s) added on 1/11/2013: # <u>6</u> sealed addresses) (zrdj,). (Entered: 07/24/2012)

08/07/2013	<u>12</u>	CERTIFICATE OF CLERK of mailing one copy of the summons and complaint, together with a translation of each into the official language of the foreign state on 8/7/13, by DHL, to the Republic of Sudan, Ministry of External Affairs and Islamic Republic of Iran, Ministry of Foreign Affairs, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1608(a)(3). (td,) (Additional attachment(s) added on 8/7/2013: # 1 DHL Confirmation for

73a

Appendix A

Pick Up) (td,). (Entered: 08/07/2013)

10/22/2013 24 Second AMENDED COMPLAINT against IRANIAN MINISTRY OF INFORMATION AND SECURITY, ISLAMIC REPUBLIC OF IRAN, MINISTRY OF THE INTERIOR OF THE REPUBLIC OF THE SUDAN, REPUBLIC OF SUDAN filed by ALL PLAINTIFFS.(td,) (Entered: 10/23/2013)

06/18/2014 42 Clerk's ENTRY OF DEFAULT as to REPUBLIC OF SUDAN (td,) (Entered: 06/18/2014)

07/25/2014 44 ORDER. See text and accompanying Memorandum Opinion for details. Signed by Judge John D. Bates on 7/25/14. (lcjdb2) (Entered: 07/25/2014)

07/25/2014 45 MEMORANDUM OPINION. See text for details. Signed by Judge John D. Bates on 7/25/14. (lcjdb2) (Entered: 07/25/2014)

74a

Appendix A

08/21/2014 49 NOTICE of Appearance by Asim A. Ghafoor on behalf of MINISTRY OF THE INTERIOR OF THE REPUBLIC OF SUDAN, REPUBLIC OF SUDAN, MINISTRY OF THE INTERIOR OF THE REPUBLIC OF THE SUDAN, REPUBLIC OF SUDAN, REPUBLIC OF SUDAN (Ghafoor, Asim) (Entered: 08/21/2014)

08/23/2014 51 NOTICE OF APPEAL TO DC CIRCUIT COURT re 44 & 45 by REPUBLIC OF SUDAN, MINISTRY OF THE INTERIOR OF THE REPUBLIC OF THE SUDAN. Filing fee \$ 505, receipt number 0090-3817848. Fee Status: Fee Paid. Parties have been notified. (Ghafoor, Asim) Modified on 8/25/2014 to add linkage(rdj). (Entered: 08/23/2014)

11/05/2014 53 NOTICE of Service of Default Judgment by ALL PLAINTIFFS (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit 1, # 2 Exhibit 2, # 3 Exhibit 3, # 4 Exhibit 4)(MacAllister, Edward) (Entered:

75a

Appendix A

11/05/2014)

- 04/10/2015 62 NOTICE of Appearance by Nicole Erb on behalf of MINISTRY OF THE INTERIOR OF THE REPUBLIC OF THE SUDAN, REPUBLIC OF THE SUDAN (Erb, Nicole) (Entered: 04/10/2015)
- 04/10/2015 63 NOTICE of Appearance by Christopher M. Curran on behalf of MINISTRY OF THE INTERIOR OF THE REPUBLIC OF THE SUDAN, REPUBLIC OF THE SUDAN (Curran, Christopher) (Entered: 04/10/2015)
- 04/14/2015 64 NOTICE of Appearance by Claire Angela Delelle on behalf of MINISTRY OF THE INTERIOR OF THE REPUBLIC OF THE SUDAN, REPUBLIC OF THE SUDAN (Delelle, Claire) (Entered: 04/14/2015)
- 04/30/2015 65 MOTION to Vacate 44 Order by MINISTRY OF THE INTERIOR OF THE REPUBLIC OF THE SUDAN, REPUBLIC OF THE SUDAN (Attachments: # 1 Memorandum in Support, # 2 Declaration of Maowia O. Khalid, # 3 Text of Proposed Order)

Appendix A

(Curran, Christopher) (Entered: 04/30/2015)

06/12/2015 67 Memorandum in opposition to re 65 MOTION to Vacate 44 Order filed by ALL PLAINTIFFS. (Attachments: # 1 Text of Proposed Order)(Perles, Steven) (Entered: 06/12/2015)

06/22/2015 68 REPLY to opposition to motion re 65 MOTION to Vacate 44 Order filed by MINISTRY OF THE INTERIOR OF THE REPUBLIC OF THE SUDAN, REPUBLIC OF THE SUDAN. (Curran, Christopher) (Entered: 06/22/2015)

07/28/2015 73 ORDER of USCA as to (51 in 1:12-cv-01224-JDB) Notice of Appeal to DC Circuit Court, filed by MINISTRY OF THE INTERIOR OF THE REPUBLIC OF THE SUDAN, REPUBLIC OF THE SUDAN, (351 in 1:01-cv-02244-JDB) Notice of Appeal to DC Circuit Court, filed by MINISTRY OF THE INTERIOR OF THE REPUBLIC OF THE SUDAN, REPUBLIC OF THE SUDAN, (48 in 1:10-cv-00356-JDB) Notice of Appeal to DC Circuit Court,

77a

Appendix A

filed by REPUBLIC OF SUDAN, MINISTRY OF THE INTERIOR OF THE REPUBLIC OF THE SUDAN, (312 in 1:01-cv-02244-JDB) Notice of Appeal to DC Circuit Court, filed by REPUBLIC OF THE SUDAN, (261 in 1:08-cv-01361-JDB) Notice of Appeal to DC Circuit Court, filed by REPUBLIC OF SUDAN, MINISTRY OF THE INTERIOR OF THE REPUBLIC OF THE SUDAN, (239 in 1:08-cv-01380-JDB) Notice of Appeal to DC Circuit Court, filed by REPUBLIC OF SUDAN, MINISTRY OF THE INTERIOR OF THE REPUBLIC OF THE SUDAN, (251 in 1:08-cv-01349-JDB) Notice of Appeal to DC Circuit Court, filed by REPUBLIC OF SUDAN, MINISTRY OF THE INTERIOR OF THE REPUBLIC OF THE SUDAN, REPUBLIC OF THE SUDAN, MINISTRY OF THE INTERIOR OF THE REPUBLIC OF SUDAN. ORDERED that the motion to hold in abeyance be granted. USCA Case Number 14-5105. (rd) (Entered: 07/30/2015)

03/23/2016 79 MEMORANDUM OPINION. Signed by Judge John D. Bates on 3/23/2016.

78a

Appendix A

(lcjdb2) (Entered: 03/23/2016)

03/23/2016 80 ORDER denying 65 Sudan's motion to vacate and granting in part and denying in part 69 plaintiffs' motion for leave to file a surreply. See text and accompanying Memorandum Opinion for details. Signed by Judge John D. Bates on 3/23/2016. (lcjdb2) (Entered: 03/23/2016)

04/08/2016 82 NOTICE OF APPEAL TO DC CIRCUIT COURT as to 80 Order on Motion to Vacate, Order on Motion for Order,, by REPUBLIC OF THE SUDAN, MINISTRY OF THE INTERIOR OF THE REPUBLIC OF THE SUDAN. Filing fee \$ 505, receipt number 0090-4478466. Fee Status: Fee Paid. Parties have been notified. (Curran, Christopher) (Entered: 04/08/2016)

**APPENDIX B — MEMORANDUM OPINION
OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, FILED
NOVEMBER 28, 2011**

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

Civil Action No. 01-2244 (JDB)

JAMES OWENS, *et al.*,

Plaintiffs,

v.

REPUBLIC OF SUDAN, *et al.*,

Defendants.

November 28, 2011, Decided
November 28, 2011, Filed

MEMORANDUM OPINION

Over thirteen years ago, on August 7, 1998, the United States embassies in Nairobi, Kenya and Dar es Salaam, Tanzania were devastated by simultaneous suicide bombings that killed hundreds of people and injured over a thousand. Now, in this civil action under the Foreign Sovereign Immunities Act (“FSIA”), plaintiffs — victims of the bombings and their families — seek to

Appendix B

assign liability for their injuries to the Republic of Sudan (“Sudan”), the Ministry of the Interior of the Republic of Sudan, the Islamic Republic of Iran (“Iran”), the Iranian Revolutionary Guards Corps (“IRGC”) and the Iranian Ministry of Information and Security (“MOIS”) (collectively “defendants”).

The Court will proceed in two steps. First, it will present findings as to the causes of the bombings — specifically, findings that defendants were indeed responsible for supporting, funding, and otherwise carrying out this unconscionable attack. Second, the Court will set forth legal and remedial conclusions to bring this litigation to a close.¹ Most recently, and relevant here, the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (“2008 NDAA” or “Act”) amended the FSIA to permit foreign national employees of the United States government killed or injured while acting within the scope of their employment and their family members to sue a state sponsor of terrorism for injuries and damages resulting from an act of terrorism. Here, the majority of plaintiffs are foreign national employees of the U.S. Government and their immediate family members who, as the Court will explain below, lack a claim under the 2008 NDAA amendments to FSIA but may proceed under applicable state law.

1. The Court enters the findings and conclusions below pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1608(e). That provision requires plaintiffs under the FSIA to “establish [their] claim or right to relief by evidence satisfactory to the court” even where, as here, defendants have failed to appear after proper service.

*Appendix B***BACKGROUND**

Plaintiffs bring this case pursuant to section 1083 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008, Pub. L. No. 110-181, § 1083, 122 Stat. 341 (2008) (codified at 28 U.S.C. §1605A (2009)). Several cases were consolidated for purposes of the Court's October 25-28, 2010 evidentiary hearing on liability. In each case, as described below, defendants were properly served according to the FSIA. Defendants failed to respond, and the Clerk of Court entered defaults against defendants in each case. In *Owens v. Republic of Sudan*, No. 1:01-cv-02244 (JDB), service of process was completed upon each defendant: the Republic of Sudan on February 25, 2003 [Docket Entry 9]; the Ministry of the Interior of the Republic of Sudan on February 25, 2003 [Docket Entry 9]; the Islamic Republic of Iran on March 5, 2003 [Docket Entry 10]; and the Iranian Ministry of Information and Security on October 14, 2002 [Docket Entry 6]. Defaults were entered against the Iranian defendants on May 8, 2003, [Docket Entry 11], and defaults were entered against the Republic of Sudan and the Ministry of the Interior of the Republic of Sudan on March 25, 2010 [Docket Entry 173].

In *Wamai v. Republic of Sudan*, No. 1:08-cv-01349 (JDB), service of process was completed on each of the named defendants: the Ministry of the Interior of the Republic of Sudan was served with process on February 12, 2009, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1608(a)(3) [Docket Entry 15]; the Republic of Sudan was served with process on April 22, 2009 through the U.S. Department of State

Appendix B

pursuant to 28 U.S.C.1608(a)(4) [Docket Entry 23], which was delivered under diplomatic note on November 12, 2009 [Docket Entry 28]; the Iranian Ministry of Information and Security was served with process on February 14, 2009 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1608(a)(3) [Docket Entry 15]; and the Islamic Republic of Iran and the Iranian Revolutionary Guards were served with process on April 22, 2009 through the U.S. Department of State pursuant to 28 U.S.C.1608(a)(4) [Docket Entry 23], which was delivered under diplomatic notes on November 18, 2009 [Docket Entry 29]. An entry of default was filed against each of these defendants on June 4, 2010 [Docket Entries 34, 35].

In *Amduso v. Republic of Sudan*, No. 1:08-cv-01361 (JDB), the Sudanese defendants were served with process on February 1, 2009 under 28 U.S.C. § 1608(a)(3) [Docket Entry 27], and the Iranian defendants were served on June 26, 2009 under 28 U.S.C. § 1608(a)(4) [Docket Entry 33]. Defaults were entered against the Republic of Sudan and the Ministry of the Interior of the Republic of Sudan on April 22, 2010 [Docket Entry 29] and against the Islamic Republic of Iran and the Iranian Ministry of Information and Security on October 6, 2009 [Docket Entry 40].

In *Mwila v. Islamic Republic of Iran*, No. 1:08-cv-01377 (JDB), service of process was completed on each of the named defendants: the Ministry of the Interior of the Republic of Sudan was served with process on March 17, 2009 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1608(a)(3) [Docket Entry 3]; the Islamic Republic of Iran and the Iranian Ministry of Information and Security were served with process on September 8, 2009 through the U.S. Department of State

Appendix B

pursuant to 28 U.S.C.1608(a)(4) [Docket Entry 16]; and the Republic of Sudan was served with process on November 12, 2009 through the U.S. Department of State pursuant to 28 U.S.C.1608(a)(4) [Docket Entry 19]. Defaults were entered against the Islamic Republic of Iran, the Republic of Sudan, and the Ministry of the Interior of the Republic of Sudan on February 18, 2010 [Docket Entries 20, 21 and 22] and against the Iranian Ministry of Information and Security on April 21, 2010 [Docket Entry 23].

In *Khaliq v. Republic of Sudan*, No. 1:10-cv-00356 (JDB), the Sudanese defendants were served with process on October 13, 2010 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1608(a)(4) [Docket Entry 16]. The Islamic Republic of Iran was served with process on October 11, 2010 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1608(a)(4) [Docket Entry 20]. Defaults were entered against the Republic of Sudan on December 15, 2010 [Docket Entry 18] and against the Islamic Republic of Iran on December 22, 2010 [Docket Entry 21].

Finally, in *Onsongo v. Republic of Sudan*, No. 1:08-cv-01380 (JDB), the Sudanese defendants were served with process on December 17, 2009 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1608(a)(4) [Docket Entry 16]. The Iranian Ministry of Information and Security was served with process on February 14, 2009 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1608(a)(3) [Docket Entry 8], and the Islamic Republic of Iran and the Iranian Revolutionary Guards were served with process on November 18, 2009 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1608(a)(4) [Docket Entry 17]. Defaults were entered against each of the named defendants on June 2, 2010 [Docket Entries 21, 22, and 23].

Appendix B

Before plaintiffs can be awarded any relief, this Court must determine whether they have established their claims “by evidence satisfactory to the court.” 28 U.S.C. § 1608(e); *see also Roeder v. Islamic Republic of Iran*, 333 F.3d 228, 232, 357 U.S. App. D.C. 107 (D.C. Cir. 2003). This “satisfactory to the court” standard is identical to the standard for entry of default judgments against the United States in Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 55(e). *Hill v. Republic of Iraq*, 328 F.3d 680, 684, 356 U.S. App. D.C. 142 (D.C. Cir. 2003). In evaluating the plaintiffs’ proof, the court may “accept as true the plaintiffs’ uncontroverted evidence.” *Elahi v. Islamic Republic of Iran*, 124 F. Supp. 2d 97, 100 (D.D.C. 2000); *Campuzano v. Islamic Republic of Iran*, 281 F. Supp. 2d 258, 268 (D.D.C. 2003). In FSIA default judgment proceedings, the plaintiffs may establish proof by affidavit. *Weinstein v. Islamic Republic of Iran*, 184 F. Supp. 2d 13, 19 (D.D.C. 2002). A three-day hearing on liability and damages was held beginning on October 25, 2010. At this hearing, the Court received evidence in the form of live testimony, videotaped testimony, affidavit, and original documentary and videographic evidence. The Court applied the Federal Rules of Evidence. Based on the record established herein, the Court makes the following findings of fact and conclusions of law.

I. FINDINGS OF FACT**A. Islamic Republic of Iran’s Support for Bin Laden and Al Qaeda**

The government of the Islamic Republic of Iran (“Iran”) has a long history of providing material aid and

Appendix B

support to terrorist organizations including al Qaeda, which have claimed responsibility for the August 7, 1998 embassy bombings. *See, e.g.*, Tr. Vol. II at 124-25.² Iran had been the preeminent state sponsor of terrorism against United States interests for decades. *See id.* at 123. Throughout the 1990s — at least — Iran regarded al Qaeda as a useful tool to destabilize U.S. interests. As discussed in detail below, the government of Iran aided, abetted and conspired with Hezbollah, Osama Bin Laden, and al Qaeda to launch large-scale bombing attacks against the United States by utilizing the sophisticated delivery mechanism of powerful suicide truck bombs. Hezbollah, a terrorist organization based principally in Lebanon, had utilized this type of bomb in the devastating 1983 attacks on the U.S. embassy and Marine barracks in Beirut, Lebanon. Prior to their meetings with Iranian officials and agents, Bin Laden and al Qaeda did not possess the technical expertise required to carry out the embassy bombings in Nairobi and Dar es Salaam. The Iranian defendants, through Hezbollah, provided explosives training to Bin Laden and al Qaeda and rendered direct assistance to al Qaeda operatives. Hence, for the reasons discussed below, the Iranian defendants provided material aid and support to al Qaeda for the 1998 embassy bombings and are liable for damages suffered by the plaintiffs.

2. “Tr. Vol.” refers to the transcript for each day of the bench trial in this case, beginning on October 25, 2010. Accordingly “Tr. Vol. I” refers to the transcript for the first day of testimony on October 25, 2010, “Tr. Vol. II” refers to the transcript of day two of the bench trial, and so on. “Ex.” refers to those exhibits admitted into evidence during the trial.

*Appendix B***1. The Iranian Government's Relationship with Hezbollah**

Iranian support of Hezbollah began in the 1980s. *Id.* at 123. Iran “actively encouraged, if not directed, the formation of Hezbollah,” and the relationship was “quite close” during the 1990s. *Id.* Iran was formally declared a “state sponsor of terrorism” on January 19, 1984, by U.S. Secretary of State George P. Schultz in accordance with section 6(j) of the Export Administration Act of 1979, 50 App. U.S.C. § 2405(j), *see* 49 Fed. Reg. 2836-02 (statement of Secretary of State George P. Schultz, Jan. 23, 1984), and remains designated as a state sponsor of terrorism today. The Iranian government and the Iranian intelligence service “provided substantial financial support and lots of other services” to Hezbollah. Tr. Vol. II at 122.

At all times relevant to this case, Iran was a state sponsor of terrorism that supported terrorist groups that U.S. intelligence agencies believed were capable of attacking U.S. interests. The declassified 1991 National Intelligence Estimate produced by the CIA stated that: “Iranian support for terrorism will remain a significant issue dividing Tehran and Washington. Tehran is unlikely to conduct terrorism directly against U.S. or Western interests during the next two years, but it is supporting radical groups that might do so.” Ex. DD at 20.

Hezbollah possessed “extraordinary knowledge of explosives” in the mid-to-late 1990s. Tr. Vol. II at 126. Iran trained Hezbollah “in counterintelligence and in explosive capability” such that Hezbollah “is often described as the

Appendix B

A-team of terrorists.” *Id.* at 169. Hezbollah operatives were trained in Iran, and Iranian Revolutionary Guard Corp (“IRGC”) trainers were present in Lebanese Hezbollah training camps. *Id.* Indeed, as terrorism expert Evan Kohlmann testified, “Hezbollah is a proxy force of Iran. Its primary foreign sponsor is Iran, both financially and otherwise. Almost all of Hezbollah’s activities are well known to the Iranian government. In some cases they’re planned by the Iranian government.” Tr. Vol. III at 240.

2. Iranian Support for Al Qaeda

In the 1990s, Iranian support for terrorist groups extended beyond Hezbollah to al Qaeda. Dr. Matthew Levitt, an expert witness on the state sponsorship of terrorism, and specifically Iran, Hezbollah and al Qaeda, explained how al Qaeda came into contact with the Iranian government: “Hassan al-Turabi, the head of the National Islamic Front, which ruled Sudan at the time, was keen not only on instituting Islamic sharia law in Sudan at home, but in making the Sudan a place from which worldwide Islamic revolution could flow.” Tr. Vol. II at 165. To that end, “Hassan al-Turabi hosted numerous meetings, some large summits with radical extremist groups, including one, for example, in April 1991. Groups like HAMAS and Palestinian Islamic Jihad, Egyptian Islamic Jihad, al Qaeda, Sudanese radicals, Iranians, Lebanese Hezbollah were all invited and attended.” *Id.* at 165-66. Such a conglomeration of different terrorist groups and governments such as Iran had been very unusual prior to al-Turabi’s conferences. *Id.* at 166. And “it was at these meetings where Iranian officials, Hezbollah

Appendix B

officials, al Qaeda officials and others first began to have some serious meetings.” *Id.* Several meetings took place between representatives of Hezbollah, al Qaeda and the governments of Sudan and Iran. Tr. Vol. III at 240. The purpose of these meetings, “in the words of a ranking al Qaeda shura council member Abu Hajer al-Iraqi, . . . was to focus on a common enemy, that being the West, the United States.” *Id.*

Al-Turabi’s policies therefore resulted in the exchange of ideas and sharing of resources by groups that would not necessarily have communicated otherwise, including Hezbollah and al Qaeda. Ex. W-2 at 3, 6. Bin Laden and al Qaeda relocated to Sudan in 1991. Tr. Vol. II at 165. The Iranian government played a “very active” role in Sudan during the time that Bin Laden operated from Khartoum. *Id.* at 124. This included playing a “prominent role” in a conference of those resisting the Israeli-Arab peace process, which had been organized by the Sudanese government. *Id.* Hezbollah also had a base of operations in Khartoum, Sudan. Tr. Vol. III at 233.

Iran’s role in Sudan grew at the same time that the Sudanese government invited Bin Laden to Khartoum. Al-Turabi invited the President of Iran, Hojatoleslam Rafsanjani, to visit Sudan in 1991 to support Al-Turabi’s goal of mending the Shia and Sunni divide in Islam in order to present a united front against the West. Ex. V at 5. Iran also maintained a delegation office in Khartoum that was run by Sheik Nomani to facilitate relations between the governments and convert Sunni Arab Muslims to the Shia sectarian view. Tr. Vol. III at 234.

Appendix B

The two governments shared information and intelligence between their militaries and intelligence services. *Id.*

In addition, the IRGC, an Iranian state organization that funneled assistance to terrorist organizations abroad — such as Hezbollah in Khartoum — also maintained connections with the Sudanese intelligence service. *Id.* at 234-35. The IRGC was founded shortly after the 1979 Iranian revolution and, along with MOIS, is one of the two major organizations through which Iran carries out its support of terrorism. Tr. Vol. II at 130-31. Indeed, “Hezbollah’s presence in Khartoum was made possible by the relationship between the government of Sudan and the government of Iran.” Tr. Vol. III at 240. The Sudanese intelligence service also facilitated the linkage between al Qaeda and Hezbollah and representatives of Iran, which was strengthened by al Qaeda’s move to Sudan. *Id.* at 270. The State Department’s annual report on “Patterns of Global Terrorism” for 1993 states:

Sudan’s ties to Iran, the leading state sponsor of terrorism, continued to cause concern during the past year. Sudan served as a convenient transit point, meeting site and safe haven for Iranian-backed extremist groups. Iranian ambassador in Khartoum Majid Kamal was involved in the 1979 takeover of the U.S. embassy in Tehran and guided Iranian efforts in developing the Lebanese Hizballah group while he served as Iran’s top diplomat in Lebanon during the early 1980s. His presence illustrated the importance Iran places on Sudan.

Appendix B

Ex. GG; Tr. Vol. III at 258-59.

Iran provided substantial training and assistance to al Qaeda leading up to the embassy attacks in 1998. For example, Ali Mohammed provided security for one prominent meeting between Hezbollah's chief external operations officer, Imad Mughniyah, and Bin Laden in Sudan. Tr. Vol. II at 170; Ex. A at 28. At Ali Mohammed's plea hearing in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York on October 20, 2000, he was asked to describe, in his own words, why he believed that he was guilty of the crimes charged arising out of the embassy attack. Ali Mohammed responded:

I was aware of certain contacts between al Qaeda and al Jihad organization, on one side, and Iran and Hezbollah on the other side. I arranged security for a meeting in the Sudan between Mughaniya, Hezbollah's chief, and Bin Laden. Hezbollah provided explosives training for al Qaeda and al Jihad. Iran supplied Egyptian Jihad with weapons. Iran also used Hezbollah to supply explosives that were disguised to look like rocks.

Ex. A at 28; Tr. Vol. II at 115-19.

Iran was "helping train al Qaeda operatives and al Qaeda personnel" in Sudan in the early 1990s. Tr. Vol. II at 124-25. Dr. Matthew Levitt explained that known al Qaeda operatives had significant relationships with Iran. For example, "Mustafa Hamid, throughout the period

Appendix B

we're talking about here, throughout the 1990s, was one of al Qaeda's primary points of contact specifically to Iran's Islamic Revolutionary Guard Corps." *Id.* at 170. In 2009, the Department of Treasury designated Hamid as a specially designated global terrorist, "noting specifically that he was one of al Qaeda's senior leadership living in Iran and working closely with the IRGC, the Islamic Revolutionary Guards Corps." *Id.*; Ex. CC. "In the mid-1990s, Mustafa Hamid reportedly negotiated a secret relationship between Usama Bin Laden and Iran, allowing many al Qaida members safe transit through Iran to Afghanistan." Ex. CC.

Following the meetings that took place between representatives of Hezbollah and al Qaeda in Sudan in the early to mid-1990s, Hezbollah and Iran agreed to provide advanced training to a number of al Qaeda members, including shura council members, at Hezbollah training camps in South Lebanon. Tr. Vol. III at 241. Saif al-Adel, the head of al Qaeda security, trained in Hezbollah camps. *Id.* During this time period, several other senior al Qaeda operatives trained in Iran and in Hezbollah training camps in Lebanon. Tr. Vol. II at 169. After one of the training sessions at a Lebanese Hezbollah camp, al Qaeda operatives connected to the Nairobi bombing, including a financier and a bomb-maker, returned to Sudan with videotapes and manuals "specifically about how to blow up large buildings." *Id.*

Al Qaeda desired to replicate Hezbollah's 1983 Beirut Marine barracks suicide bombing, and Bin Laden sought Iranian expertise to teach al Qaeda operatives about how

Appendix B

to blow up buildings. *Id.* at 176. Prior to al Qaeda members' training in Iran and Lebanon, al Qaeda had not carried out any successful large scale bombings. *Id.* at 177. However, in a short time, al Qaeda acquired the capabilities to carry out the 1998 Embassy bombings, which killed hundreds and injured thousands by detonation of very large and sophisticated bombs. *See id.* Dr. Levitt concluded that "it would not have been possible for al Qaeda to a reasonable degree of certainty to have executed this type of a bombing attack, which it had never previously executed, without this type of training it received from Iran and Hezbollah." *Id.* at 181.

Hezbollah engages in international terrorist operations in close tactical and strategic cooperation with the Iranian government. *Id.* at 179. The Supreme Leader of Iran, Ayatollah Khameni, controls oversight of the media, the military, the Ministry of Intelligence, the IRGC, the Basji militia, and the IRGC's Qods force; all the entities that oversee the training and support of and cooperation with terrorist groups and that grant approval of terrorist attacks conducted with other groups answer to Khameni. *Id.* Hezbollah's assistance to al Qaeda would not have been possible without the authorization of the Iranian government. *Id.*; Ex. W-2 at 3.

Dr. Levitt testified that Iranian government authorization of Hezbollah's assistance would be required for several reasons:

The first is again the getting in bed with al Qaeda. After al Qaeda had issued not one but

Appendix B

two fatwas, religious edicts, in '92 and '96, announcing its intent to target the West, it was a dangerous proposition. As I mentioned earlier, Iranian leaders have their own version of rationality, but they are rational actors. And that is something that I believe had to be approved, again, so there would be reasonable or plausible deniability. Overcoming this deep mistrust between the most radical Salafi jihadi Sunnis, who, as we saw in the context of the aftermath of the war in Iraq, are sometimes all too eager to kill Shia in particular, and for the Shia on the other side to overcome their historical animosity towards these radical Sunnis, is no small feat. And I think it is only because of their shared interest at that point, in the 1990s and the immediate — to target U.S. interests, that they were able to decide to overcome this animosity and mistrust. And I think it's quite clear, because it was for the express purpose of targeting the United States, it shouldn't surprise then that the type of training they received was specifically of the type used in the East Africa embassy bombings. They expressed interest in, we know they received at least videos and manuals about, blowing up large buildings.

Tr. Vol. II. at 179-80; Ex. L-2 at 14-19. The declassified 1990 National Intelligence Estimate produced by the CIA stated the following regarding President Rasfanjani's role in the government's sponsorship of terrorism:

Appendix B

The terrorist attacks carried out by Iran during the past year were probably approved in advance by President Rafsanjani and other senior leaders. The planning and implementation of these operations are, however, probably managed by other senior officials, most of whom are Rafsanjani's appointees or allies. Nonetheless, we believe Rafsanjani and Khomeini would closely monitor and approve the planning for an attack against U.S. or Western interests.

Ex. EE at 7; Tr. Vol. III at 238-40.

Support from Iran and Hezbollah was critical to al Qaeda's execution of the 1998 embassy bombings. *See* Tr. Vol. II at 181. Prior to its meetings with Iranian officials and agents, al Qaeda did not possess the technical expertise required to carry out the embassy bombings. In the 1990s, al Qaeda received training in Iran and Lebanon on how to destroy large buildings with sophisticated and powerful explosives. *Id.* at 188; Tr. Vol. III at 314-15. The government of Iran was aware of and authorized this training and assistance. Hence, for the reasons described above, the Court finds that the Iranian defendants provided material aid and support to al Qaeda for the 1990 embassy bombings and are liable for plaintiffs' damages.

*Appendix B***B. The Republic of Sudan's Support for Bin Laden and al Qaeda**

Sudanese government support for Bin Laden and al Qaeda was also important to the execution of the two 1998 embassy bombings. Critically, Sudan provided safe haven in a country near the two U.S. embassies. The Sudanese defendants (“Sudan”) gave material aid and support to Bin Laden and al Qaeda in several ways. Sudan harbored and provided sanctuary to terrorists and their operational and logistical supply network. Bin Laden and al Qaeda received the support and protection of the Sudanese intelligence and military from foreign intelligence services and rival militants. Sudan provided Bin Laden and al Qaeda hundreds of Sudanese passports. The Sudanese intelligence service allowed al Qaeda to travel over the Sudan-Kenya border without restriction, permitting the passage of weapons and money to supply the Nairobi terrorist cell. Finally, Sudan’s support of al Qaeda was official Sudanese government policy.

1. Safe Harbor

Osama Bin Laden and a small group of supporters founded al Qaeda in Afghanistan in September 1988. Tr. Vol. III at 225. Al Qaeda is Arabic for “the solid foundation” or “base.” *Id.* at 224. Bin Laden was “the primary financier” and the “primary creative genius behind al Qaeda,” a group that sought to “create a worldwide network of individuals who would defend the Muslim community by waging . . . a low-intensity war against any of its enemies, including . . . the United States

Appendix B

and other Western countries.” *Id.* at 225. When al Qaeda was formed, it was a very small, compartmentalized group with centralized leadership composed of a shura council, and each member was head of a subcommittee. *Id.* at 226. Around 1990, as the war in Afghanistan neared its end, al Qaeda faced dangers arising from the eruption of a civil war among the Afghan mujahedeen that had previously fought and defeated the Soviet Union. *Id.* at 228-29. The multi-dimensional civil war involved several factions and was extremely violent, with shifting front lines, which made it a difficult place for al Qaeda to maintain a secure base. *Id.* at 332-33. The Pakistani government also began to pressure the foreign mujahedeen fighters to leave Pakistan. *Id.* at 229. Hence, al Qaeda needed to find a new base of operations, and Sudan was an eager host.

In 1989, the Sudanese government was overthrown by a military coup led by General Omar al-Bashir and Hassan al-Turabi, the head of the National Islamic Front (“NIF”). *See* Ex. W-2 at 1. Al-Turabi, as the head of the NIF, and al-Bashir, as the head of the military who became the President, joined forces to rule Sudan. Ex. W-2 at 2. Under their leadership, the Sudanese government courted Bin Laden and al Qaeda to convince them to relocate to Sudan. Tr. Vol. III at 242-43. Al-Bashir even sent a letter of invitation to Bin Laden. *Id.* at 243, 333-34; Ex. V at 7.

Al-Turabi and the NIF sought to implement Sharia law throughout Sudan, and then in Muslim majority countries. *Id.* at 334-35. The NIF felt the Muslim world was endangered, primarily by Western encroachment, which had to be resisted. *Id.* at 335. This resulted in

Appendix B

the Sudanese government's welcoming of a number of terrorist organizations into Sudan. *Id.* at 335; Ex. V at 5. The NIF also believed in ending the split between the Sunni and Shi'ite branches of Islam. Tr. Vol. III at 335; Ex. V at 5.

Al Qaeda accepted Sudan's invitation and in late 1991 began to move to Sudan. Tr. Vol. III at 242-44. Al Qaeda respected and supported the ideological program of the new government of Sudan. Tr. Vol. III at 333; Ex. V at 5-6. The leadership of Sudan guaranteed al Qaeda a base from which it could operate with impunity, with a minimum risk of foreign interference. In turn, al Qaeda agreed to support the war in south Sudan against the Christians and animists, and to invest in the Sudanese economy. Tr. Vol. III at 333; Ex. V at 5-15.

One of the members of al Qaeda who played an important role in the move was Jamal al-Fadl, who later worked directly with the Sudanese intelligence service under the approval of Bin Laden. Tr. Vol. III at 244. Al-Fadl was Sudanese, and he served as an intermediary between al Qaeda and the Sudanese intelligence service. *Id.* at 244-45. Al-Fadl later defected to the United States and became an official source for the Federal Bureau of Investigation and the U.S. Justice Department. *Id.* at 244.

Al-Fadl provided testimony for the United States government during the criminal trial of Bin Laden. He recalled that when al Qaeda considered moving from Afghanistan to Sudan initially, questions were raised among the al Qaeda leadership over whether Hassan al-

Appendix B

Turabi's ruling National Islamic Front party in Sudan would make a suitable and appropriate ally. According to al-Fadl: "The people, they say we have to be careful with that and we have to know more about Islamic Front . . . I remember Abu Abdallah [Usama Bin Laden] ... he decide to send some people to Sudan at that time, to discover, to see what going on over there, and they bring good answer or clean answer." *United States v. Usama Bin Laden*, No. 98-1023, Tr. Trans. at 216-17 (S.D.N.Y. Feb. 6, 2001). Al-Fadl indicated that Bin Laden had dispatched several senior al Qaeda members on this mission, including "Abu Hammam al Saudi, Abu Hajer al Iraqi, and Abu Hassan Al Sudani. And Abu Rida al Suri." *Id.* at 217. Afterwards, "we got lecture by Abu Hajer al Iraqi, and he ask about what in the Sudan and what this relationship... He said he went over there and I met some of the Islamic National Front in Sudan and they are very good people and they very happy to make this relationship with al Qaeda, and they very happy to have al Qaeda if al Qaeda come over there." *Id.* at 217-18.

Al-Fadl personally interviewed and vetted those who sought to travel with al Qaeda to Sudan. Tr. Vol. III at 244. During testimony on February 6, 2001, al-Fadl described his role in facilitating al Qaeda's subsequent move to Sudan at the end of 1990: "I went with some members and we start rent houses and farms over there In Khartoum, because they going to bring the members in Sudan, so I went with other members to rent guesthouses and we established to rent houses for the single people and some houses for the people married that got family. And also we bought farms for the training and refresh training."

Appendix B

Usama Bin Laden, Tr. Trans. at 219-20. Al-Fadl further testified that he spent approximately \$250,000 of al Qaeda's own finances on acquiring various properties in the Sudan. On the direct orders of Bin Laden and other al Qaeda commanders, al-Fadl purchased large farms in Damazine, Port Sudan, and Soba. *Id.* at 221. Later, al-Fadl testified that he personally witnessed senior al Qaeda commanders — including Salem al-Masri, Saif al-Islam al-Masri, Saif al-Adel, and Abu Talha al-Sudani — supervising training courses in explosives being offered at the farm in Damazine. *Id.* at 243-45.

Terrorism expert Evan Kohlmann explained that the government of Sudan had encouraged al Qaeda to move for several reasons. The government envisioned that Sudan “would become the new haven for Islamic revolutionary thought and would serve as a base not just for al Qaeda but for Islamic revolutionaries of every stripe and size.” Tr. Vol. III at 231. Also, al Qaeda's presence allowed Sudan to gain leverage against its antagonistic neighbor Egypt through the use of these groups that were opposed to the Egyptian government and to gain resources from its partnership with the groups, especially Bin Laden who was rumored to be very wealthy. *Id.* Sudan invited “Palestinian HAMAS movement, the Palestinian Islamic Jihad, Hezbollah from south Lebanon, which is an Iranian sponsored Shi'ite movement, al Qaeda, the Egyptian Islamic Jihad, the Libyan Islamic Fighting Group, dissident groups from Algeria, Morocco, the Eritrean Islamic Jihad movement, literally every single jihadist style group, regardless of what sectarian perspective they had, was invited to take a base in Khartoum” to further

Appendix B

the goal of organizing and launching a worldwide Islamic revolution. *Id.* at 232.

Sudan's open door policy for militant Islamic revolutionary groups and goal of fostering worldwide Islamic revolution resulted in an unprecedented meeting held in Khartoum known as the Popular Arab and Islamic Congress ("PAIC"). Ex. V at 5. As Dr. Lorenzo Vidino testified, "[t]he creation of the PAIC was 'the culmination of a quarter-century of study, political activity, and international travel by Turabi,' and was described by Turabi himself in grandiose terms as 'the most significant event since the collapse of the Caliphate.'" *Id.* (quoting J. Millard Burr and Robert O. Collins, *Revolutionary Sudan: Hasan al-Turabi and the Islamist State, 1989-2000*, at 56-7 (2003)). Indeed, "[t]he list of participants to the PAIC's first assembly, which was held in Khartoum in April of 1991, reads like a who's who of modern terrorism' . . . encompass[ing] groups such as the Philippines' Abu Sayaf, the Algerian FIS, the Egyptian Islamic Jihad, and the Palestinian Hamas [who] voted a resolution pledging to work together to 'challenge and defy the tyrannical West.'" *Id.*

Al Qaeda thrived "[f]rom 1991 to 1996 [when] bin Laden operated without any limitation inside Sudan, while under the protection of the Sudanese security forces. This freedom of action gave bin Laden and the members of his organization a useful extra-legal status in the Sudan." Ex. W-2 at 2. Al Qaeda has released official audio and video recordings and books through its media wing, As-Sahab, which explain the organization's tactical decision to move

Appendix B

to Sudan. *See* Tr. Vol. III at 246-47. In one official As-Sahab video, an al Qaeda member explains that “[t]he migration to the Sudan isn’t just to build that impoverished country, but also for the Sudan to be a launching ground for the management of the Jihad against the forces of tyranny in a number of corners of the world, especially after the House of Saud colludes with the Americans in their entrance to the land of the Two Sanctuaries, in a blatant contradiction of the command of the Prophet (peace be upon him).” Ex. FF. The al Qaeda narrator continues, “[t]he Shaykh was keen to build the Sudan, which is a sound objective, but [also], the Sudan was a factory and production cell for a generation of Mujahideen who would spread to other countries.” *Id.* (second alteration in original); *see also* Tr. Vol. III at 249-51.

Bin Laden’s presence in Sudan and partnership with Sudan was openly touted by the Sudanese government, including television broadcasts of Bin Laden in the company of both al-Turabi and President al-Bashir. Tr. Vol. III at 255. The United States monitored this alliance throughout the 1990s. The State Department’s 1991 Patterns of Global Terrorism report detailed Sudan’s growing connection with terrorist organizations:

In the past year Sudan has enhanced its relations with international terrorist groups, including the Abu Nidal Organization, ANO. Sudan has maintained ties with state sponsors of terrorism such as Libya and Iraq and has improved its relations with Iran. The National Islamic Front (NIF), under the leadership of

Appendix B

Hassan al-Turabi, has intensified its domination of the government of Sudanese president General Bashir and has been the main advocate of closer relations with radical groups and their sponsors.

Ex. KK-1; Tr. Vol. III at 307-08. The 1993 Report explained that Sudan had been placed on the list of state sponsors of terrorism. Ex. GG. The report continued:

Despite several warnings to cease supporting radical extremists, the Sudanese government continued to harbor international terrorist groups in Sudan. Through the National Islamic Front (NIF), which dominates the Sudanese government, Sudan maintained a disturbing relationship with a wide range of Islamic extremists. The list includes the ANO, the Palestinian HAMAS, the [Palestinian Islamic Jihad], Lebanese Hizballah, and Egypt's al-Gama'at al-Islamiyya.

Id.; *see also* Tr. Vol. III at 257-59.

Even after Sudan expelled Bin Laden in 1996, al Qaeda operatives remained in Sudan. Ex. AA; *see also* Tr. Vol. II at 173-75; Tr. Vol. III at 305. A declassified CIA report dated May 12, 1997 indicated that Sudan's support for terrorist groups such as al Qaeda continued, despite the considerable international pressure prompting the expulsion of Bin Laden: "[d]espite some positive steps over the past year, Khartoum has sent mixed signals

Appendix B

about cutting its terrorist ties and has taken only tactical steps.” Ex. BB; *see also* Tr. Vol. II 175-76.

The State Department’s 1997 Patterns of Global Terrorism report detailed Sudan’s continued support for terrorist organizations: “Sudan in 1997 continued to serve as a haven, meeting place, and training hub for a number of international terrorist organizations, primarily of Middle East origin. The Sudanese Government also condoned many of the objectionable activities of Iran, such as funneling assistance to terrorists and radical Islamic groups operating in and transiting through Sudan.” Ex. KK-2; *see also* Ex. KK-3 (stating that Sudan continued to serve as a haven of international terrorist organizations in 1998 and noting “[in] particular[] Usama Bin Ladin’s al-Qaida organization”); Tr. Vol. III at 308-09. Hence, the evidence strongly supports the conclusion that Sudan harbored and provided sanctuary to terrorists and their operational and logistical supply network leading up to the 1998 terrorist attacks on U.S. embassies in East Africa.

2. Financial, Military and Intelligence Services

As explained in more detail below, Sudan also provided critical financial, military, and intelligence services that facilitated and enabled al Qaeda to strengthen its terrorist network and infiltrate nearby countries. Al Qaeda set up a number of businesses and charities in Khartoum, Sudan to finance its terrorist activities and provide employment and cover for its operatives. The government of Sudan also provided passports and Sudanese citizenship for al

Appendix B

Qaeda operatives. Additionally, the Sudanese military and intelligence service coordinated with al Qaeda operatives frequently, providing protection for al Qaeda and sharing resources and information to coordinate attacks on their mutual enemies.

i. Financial Support

Al Qaeda set up several businesses and charities in Sudan as its financial and operative base for terrorist activities. Tr. Vol. III at 253-55. Once al Qaeda settled in Khartoum, it opened business offices and bought a guesthouse designed to house al Qaeda operatives in transit. *Id.* at 252. Al Qaeda's businesses included companies that imported and exported containers, farm products, and construction materials. *See* Ex. HH; Tr. Vol. III at 278-80; Ex. V at 8-9. Al Qaeda's farms provided income and offered space for terrorist training camps. Tr. Vol. III at 252-53. The expansive space allowed for testing explosives, producing mock-ups and planning attacks and assassinations. *Id.*; Ex. V at 15-16.

These businesses produced some commercial profit but, more critically, provided employment for al Qaeda operatives and cover for terrorist activities. Tr. Vol. III at 253-55. The commercial operations also provided an avenue for exchanging currency and purchasing imported goods without raising international suspicion. *Usama bin Laden*, Tr. Trans. at 239-46 (testimony of al-Fadl). As Mr. Kohlmann explained:

Appendix B

Al Qaeda was looking for a way of self-sustaining, providing a means of income for its membership, its leadership, and also to provide an excuse for why al Qaeda operatives would be traveling to different countries. It makes a good excuse if you show up at a foreign country at an immigration desk and someone asks you, why are you here, I'm here to help sell peanuts. I'm here to provide humanitarian relief. It sounded a lot better than saying I'm here to foment Islamic revolution.

Tr. Vol. III at 255.

Al Qaeda also opened and operated a number of purported charities to provide income for jihad, launder such funds and otherwise operate as a front for terrorist operations. Ex. II; Tr. Vol. III at 285-86. Most of the charities had offices in Khartoum and were active across West and Central Africa, including in Somalia and Kenya. Tr. Vol. III at 286. As fronts for al Qaeda activity, these charities served as depots for al Qaeda communications and records and as safe meeting houses for operatives. *Id.* For example, al Qaeda used the office of Mercy International in Nairobi, Kenya to hide documents, plan operations, and house members of al Qaeda. *Id.* at 287. Al Qaeda members used Mercy International ID cards to pose as relief workers. *Id.* Another charity in Nairobi, Help Africa People, did not engage in any relief work and was utilized similarly as a cover organization for al Qaeda members. *Id.* at 288-89.

Appendix B

Bin Laden and al Qaeda also invested in Sudanese banks. *Id.* at 337. This access to the formal banking system was useful for “laundering money and facilitating other financial transactions that stabilized and ultimately enlarged bin Laden’s presence in the Sudan.” *Id.* For example, Bin Laden invested \$50 million in the Sudan’s Al Shamal Islamic Bank, and these funds were used to finance al Qaeda operations. Ex. V at 11-14. Al Shamal Islamic Bank was known for financing terrorist operations, and bin Laden remained a leading investor of the bank long after he was expelled from the Sudan. *Id.*

The commercial enterprises served al Qaeda’s ultimate goal of organizing jihad against the United States and the West. As Dr. Vidino testified:

During its time in Sudan, al Qaeda grew into a sophisticated organization. Several key figures in the organization portrayed al Qaeda at the time as a multinational corporation complete with a finance committee, investments, worldwide operations, and well-organized, concealed accounts. These activities were clearly facilitated by the Sudanese government. Complacent banks, customs exemptions, tax privileges, and, more generally, full support by the Sudanese government, allowed Bin Laden’s commercial activities to flourish. But money has never been Bin Laden’s highest aspiration. He used his newfound advantageous position to solidify his nascent organization, al Qaeda Al Qaeda’s commercial activities were to be

Appendix B

used simply as a tool for the more important goal of building a stronger al Qaeda, not to generate profits. If profits were made, they were reinvested in the organization.

Ex. V at 15.

ii. Governmental/Military Support

The Sudanese government, through al-Turabi and al-Bashir, invited al Qaeda members to leave Afghanistan and come to Sudan in the early 1990s. Tr. Vol. III at 242-43. President al-Bashir followed up on this general invitation with a letter specifically inviting several al Qaeda members to come to Sudan. *Id.* at 243. Al Qaeda members used the letter to “avoid having to go through normal immigration and customs controls” and resolve any “problems with the local police or authorities.” *Id.* This letter served as a “free pass” throughout the Sudan: “Upon viewing this letter, whether it was customs or immigration or Sudanese police officers, they backed off. They understood that these individuals were here in an official quote-unquote diplomatic role.” *Id.*

During the 2001 trial of Bin Laden, Jamal al-Fadl, the former high-ranking al Qaeda member from Sudan, testified that the letter served to publicly verify al Qaeda’s extra-judicial status in the Sudan: “Like when we go to Port of Sudan and we bring some stuff that comes — when we have some guys from outside Sudan to go inside Sudan, that letter, we don’t have to pay tax or custom, or sometime the Customs, you don’t have to

Appendix B

open our containers.” *Usama Bin Laden*, Tr. Trans. at 238. The letter and governmental support provided al Qaeda unchecked access throughout Sudan. Tr. Vol. III at 243. Al-Fadl also testified that the Sudanese government provided al Qaeda members — including those who were not Sudanese — with “a couple hundred . . . real passports . . . and Sudanese citizenships” to facilitate travel outside of the Sudan. *Usama bin Laden*, Tr. Trans. at 441-42.

Al Qaeda and the Sudanese government jointly attempted to acquire nuclear materials and develop chemical weapons. Tr. Vol. III at 284-85. The Sudanese military “was directly engaged in trying to develop regular conventional weapons into nonconventional chemical weapons with al Qaeda’s assistance.” *Id.* at 285. Al Qaeda also had the support of Sudanese soldiers to facilitate the transport of weapons. Essam al-Ridi, an al Qaeda member and pilot, testified as to his knowledge of the use of Sudanese soldiers to protect Bin Laden and al Qaeda members. Ex. H at 25; *see also Usama bin Laden*, Tr. Trans. at 569-70. Al-Ridi explained that members of the Sudanese military acted as personal guards for Bin Laden at his guest house in Khartoum. Ex. H at 25-27.

Although Sudan eventually expelled Bin Laden in 1996, the government strongly resisted foreign pressure to turn him over to the United States or grant access to the al Qaeda training camps. Ex. W-2 at 4-5. Steven Simon, an expert on the state sponsorship of terrorism, concluded that the Sudanese government’s negotiation with the United States regarding Bin Laden as a terrorist threat “was a charade,” with Sudan not providing “useful

Appendix B

information on bin Laden's finances or the terrorist training camps." *Id.* at 5. Furthermore, "[t]he Sudanese government never offered intelligence regarding al Qaeda cells that might have helped the U.S. unravel the plots to attack the two East African U.S. embassies." *Id.*

iii. Support from Sudan's Intelligence Services

The Sudanese intelligence service had a delegation office that provided services to Bin Laden and al Qaeda. Tr. Vol. III at 271; Ex. V at 19. As described by Mr. Simon:

The Sudanese intelligence service coordinated with al Qaeda operatives to vet the large numbers of Islamic militants entering the country to ensure that they were not seeking to infiltrate bin Laden's organization on behalf of a foreign intelligence service.

Ex. W-2 at 4. Bin Laden himself was closely involved with the Sudanese intelligence service and aware of its operations. Tr. Vol. III at 271. When al Qaeda members or operatives arrived at the Khartoum airport, Sudanese intelligence would greet them and escort them around customs and immigration to prevent their bags from being searched and their passports from being stamped. *Id.* Al Qaeda operatives tried to avoid passport stamps from Sudanese customs, because of Khartoum's reputation for terrorist activity and the concern that a member with a stamped passport could come under suspicion of being involved in international terrorism. *Id.* at 271-73.

Appendix B

The Sudanese intelligence service facilitated the transport of al Qaeda operatives and funds from Sudan to the Nairobi cell. *Id.* at 294. For example, in violation of Kenyan customs regulations, the Sudanese intelligence service enabled al Qaeda operative L’Houssaine Kherchtou to smuggle \$10,000 from Sudan to Kenya. *Id.* The intelligence service also provided security for al Qaeda, which included protecting Bin Laden from an assassination attempt in Khartoum in 1994. *Id.* at 274. Additionally, the Sudanese intelligence service provided al Qaeda with weapons and explosives. *Id.* at 270.

The relationship between al Qaeda and the Sudanese intelligence was close and mutually beneficial. *See id.* at 268-270. Indeed, “[t]he Sudanese intelligence service viewed al Qaeda as a proxy, much the way that Iran views Hezbollah as a proxy.” *Id.* at 268-69. As a means of increasing their influence, the Sudanese intelligence service considered that “by sharing resources, information, [and] by assisting al Qaeda, the Sudanese could use al Qaeda to attack their mutual enemies.” *Id.* at 269.

3. Sudan’s Support Essential to 1998 Embassy Bombings

Sudanese government support was critical to the success of the 1998 embassy bombings: “The presence, the safe haven that Al Qaeda had in the Sudan was absolutely integral for its capability of launching operations not just in Kenya, but in Somalia, in Eritrea, in Libya. Without this base of operations, none of this would have happened.” *Id.* at 317. The support of Sudanese intelligence, the safe haven

Appendix B

provided by the Sudanese government to house al Qaeda's leadership and train its operatives, and the provision of passports allowing al Qaeda to open businesses and charities enabled al Qaeda to build its terrorist cells in Kenya, Somalia and Tanzania. *Id.* at 316-19. Indeed, Mr. Simon asserted:

The Republic of Sudan supplied al Qaeda with important resources and support during the 1990s knowing that al Qaeda intended to attack the citizens, or interests of the United States. This support encompassed the safe haven of the entire country for bin Laden and the top al Qaeda leadership. This enabled bin Laden and his followers to plot against the U.S. and build their organization free from U.S. interference. Sudanese shelter enabled Bin Laden to create training camps, invest in – and use – banking facilities, create business firms to provide cover for operatives, generate funds for an array of terrorist groups, provide official documents to facilitate clandestine travel, and enjoy the protection of Sudan's security service against infiltration, surveillance and sabotage.

Ex. W-2 at 5-6. Sudan's support thus facilitated and enabled the 1998 terrorist bombings on the two U.S. embassies in East Africa.

With the support of Sudan and Iran, al Qaeda killed and attempted to kill thousands of individuals on site in the 1998 U.S. embassy attacks in Nairobi, Kenya and Dar es

Appendix B

Salaam, Tanzania. The evidence overwhelmingly supports the conclusion that al Qaeda carried out the two bombing attacks, and Bin Laden himself claimed responsibility for them during an al Qaeda documentary history released by the al Qaeda media wing. *See* Exs. LL, MM, NN, OO; Tr. Vol. III at 313-16.

II. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The “terrorism exception” to the FSIA was first enacted as part of the Mandatory Victim’s Restitution Act of 1996, which was itself part of the larger Antiterrorism and Effective Death Penalty Act of 1996. *See* Pub. L. No. 104-132, § 221(a)(1)(C), 110 Stat. 1241, 1241 (formerly codified at 28 U.S.C. §1605(a)(7)). The exception permitted claims against foreign state sponsors of terrorism that resulted in personal injury or death, where either the claimant or the victim was a United States citizen at the time of the terrorist act. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1605(a)(7) (2007). Shortly thereafter, Congress passed the so-called “Flatlow Amendment” in the Omnibus Consolidated Appropriations Act of 1996. *See* Pub. L. No. 104-208, § 589, 110 Stat. 3009-1, 3009-172 (codified at 28 U.S.C. §1605 note). Initially, some courts construed § 1605(a)(7) and the Flatlow Amendment, read in tandem, as creating a federal cause of action against the foreign state sponsor of terrorism. *See, e.g., Flatlow v. Islamic Republic of Iran*, 999 F. Supp. 1, 27 (D.D.C. 1998).

In *Cicippio-Puleo v. Islamic Republic of Iran*, the D.C. Circuit concluded that neither § 1605(a)(7) nor the Flatlow Amendment itself created a cause of action

Appendix B

against the foreign state. 353 F.3d 1024, 1027, 359 U.S. App. D.C. 299 (D.C. Cir 2004). Instead of a federal cause of action, the D.C. Circuit directed plaintiffs to assert causes of action using “some other source of law, including state law.” *Id.* at 1036; *see, e.g., Dammarell v. Islamic Republic of Iran*, 2005 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 5343, 2005 WL 756090, at *33 (D.D.C. Mar. 25, 2005) (requiring plaintiffs *post-Cicippio-Puleo* to amend their complaint to state causes of action under the law of the state in which they were domiciled at the time of their injuries). Hence, following *Cicippio-Puleo*, the FSIA “terrorism exception” began to serve as “a ‘pass-through’ to substantive causes of action against private individuals that may exist in federal, state or international law.” *Bodoff v. Islamic Republic of Iran*, 424 F. Supp. 2d 74, 83 (D.D.C. 2006).

In some cases, applying relevant state law created practical problems for litigants and the courts. Under applicable choice of law principles, district courts applied the state tort law of each individual plaintiff’s domicile, which in many cases involved several different states for the same terrorism incident. *See, e.g., Dammarell v. Islamic Republic of Iran*, 404 F. Supp. 2d 261, 275-324 (D.D.C. 2005) (applying the law of six states and the District of Columbia). This analysis resulted in different awards for similarly-situated plaintiffs, based on the substantive tort law distinctions among states for intentional infliction of emotional distress claims. *See, e.g., Peterson v. Islamic Republic of Iran*, 515 F. Supp. 2d 25, 44-45 (D.D.C. 2007) (dismissing intentional infliction of emotional distress claims of those family members domiciled in Pennsylvania and Louisiana, whose laws

Appendix B

required the claimant to be present at the site of the event causing emotional distress).

To address these issues, Congress enacted section 1083 of the 2008 NDAA, which amended the “terrorism exception” and other related FSIA provisions. The Act repealed §1605(a)(7) of Title 28 and replaced it with a separate section, §1605A, which, among other things: (1) broadened the jurisdiction of federal courts to include claims by members of the U.S. armed forces and employees or contractors of the U.S. government injured while performing their duties on behalf of the U.S. Government; and (2) created a federal statutory cause of action for those victims and their legal representatives against state sponsors of terrorism for terrorist acts committed by the State, its agents, or employees, thereby abrogating *Cicippio-Puleo*. See *Simon v. Republic of Iraq*, 529 F.3d 1187, 1190, 381 U.S. App. D.C. 483 (D.C. Cir. 2008), *rev'd on other grounds*, 556 U.S. 848, 129 S. Ct. 2183, 173 L. Ed. 2d 1193 (2009).

This case is the second to apply §1605A to non-U.S. national plaintiffs who worked for the U.S. government (and their non-U.S. national family members), who are now entitled to compensation for personal injury and wrongful death suffered as a result of the terrorist attacks on the U.S. Embassies in Nairobi, Kenya and Dar es Salaam, Tanzania. The first was this Court’s recent decision in *Estate of Doe v. Islamic Republic of Iran*, 808 F. Supp. 2d 1, 2011 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 90875, 2011 WL 3585963 (D.D.C. Aug. 16, 2011), dealing with claims arising out of the 1983 and 1984 bombings of the U.S. embassy in Lebanon.

*Appendix B***A. Jurisdiction Under The FSIA**

The Foreign Sovereign Immunities Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 1602-1611, is the sole basis for obtaining jurisdiction over a foreign state in the United States. *Argentine Republic v. Amerada Hess Shipping Corp.*, 488 U.S. 428, 434, 109 S. Ct. 683, 102 L. Ed. 2d 818 (1989); *Brewer v. Islamic Republic of Iran*, 664 F. Supp. 2d 43, 50 (D.D.C. 2009). Although the FSIA provides that foreign states are generally immune from jurisdiction in U.S. courts, *see* 28 U.S.C. § 1604, a federal district court can obtain personal and subject matter jurisdiction over a foreign entity in certain circumstances. A court can obtain personal jurisdiction over a defendant if the plaintiff properly serves the defendant in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 1608. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1330(b). Moreover, subject matter jurisdiction exists if the defendant's conduct falls within one of the specific statutory exceptions to immunity. *See* 28 U.S.C. §§ 1330(a) & 1604. Here, this Court has jurisdiction because service was proper and defendants' conduct falls within the "state sponsor of terrorism" exception set forth in 28 U.S.C. § 1605A.

1. Service of Process

Courts may exercise personal jurisdiction over a foreign state where the defendant is properly served in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 1608. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1330(b); *TMR Energy Ltd. v. State Prop. Fund of Ukr.*, 411 F.3d 296, 303, 366 U.S. App. D.C. 320 (D.C. Cir. 2005). "A foreign state or its political subdivision, agency or instrumentality must be served in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 1608." Fed.

Appendix B

R. Civ. P. 4(j)(1). “The FSIA prescribes four methods of service, in descending order of preference. Plaintiffs must attempt service by the first method (or determine that it is unavailable) before proceeding to the second method, and so on.” *Ben-Rafael v. Islamic Republic of Iran*, 540 F. Supp. 2d 39, 52 (D.D.C. 2008); *see also* 28 U.S.C. § 1608. As described above, plaintiffs in each case here properly effected service on all defendants. *See supra* at 2-4. And in each case, defendants did not respond or make an appearance within 60 days, and thus, pursuant to § 1608(d), the Clerk entered default against defendants. Hence, as defendants were properly served in accordance with § 1608, this Court has personal jurisdiction over them.

2. Subject Matter Jurisdiction

The provisions relating to the waiver of immunity for claims alleging state-sponsored terrorism, as amended, are set forth at 28 U.S.C. § 1605A(a). Section 1605A(a)(1) provides that a foreign state shall not be immune from the jurisdiction of U.S. courts in a case where

money damages are sought against [it] for personal injury or death that was caused by an act of torture, extrajudicial killing, aircraft sabotage, hostage taking, or the provision of material support or resources for such an act if such act or provision of material support or resources is engaged in by an official, employee, or agent of such foreign state while acting within the scope of his or her office, employment, or agency.

Appendix B

§ 1605A(a)(1). For a claim to be heard in such a case, the foreign state defendant must have been designated by the U.S. Department of State as a “state sponsor of terrorism” at the time the act complained of occurred. *Id.* Finally, subsection (a)(2)(A)(ii) requires that the “*claimant or the victim* was, at the time the act . . . occurred

- (I) a national of the United States;
- (II) a member of the armed forces; or
- (III) otherwise an employee of the Government of the United States . . . acting within the scope of the employee’s employment

28 U.S.C. § 1605A(a)(2)(A)(ii)(I-III)(emphasis added).

As explained in more detail below, plaintiffs satisfy each of the requirements for subject matter jurisdiction. First, Iran and Sudan were designated as state sponsors of terrorism at the time all of the related actions in this case were filed. Second, plaintiffs’ injuries were caused by the defendants’ acts of “extrajudicial killing” and provision of “material support” for such acts to their agents. Third, plaintiffs presented evidence that they were either themselves nationals of the United States or U.S. Government employees at the time of the attacks, or their claims are derived from claims where the victims were either U.S. nationals or U.S. Government employees at the time of the attacks, as required by section 1605A(a)(2)(A)(ii). As the case progresses to the damages phase, individual plaintiffs will be required to produce evidence of their employment or familial relationship to establish their standing under the statute.

*Appendix B***i. Iran and Sudan Designated As State Sponsors of Terrorism**

A foreign state defendant must have been designated as a state sponsor of terrorism at the time the act complained of occurred. 28 U.S.C. § 1605A(a)(2)(A)(I). The statute defines “state sponsor of terrorism” as “a country the government of which the Secretary of State has determined, for purposes of section 6(j) of the Export Administration Act of 1979 (50 U.S.C. App. 2405(j)), section 620A of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 (22 U.S.C. 2371), section 40 of the Arms Export Control Act (22 U.S.C. 2780), or any other provision of law, is a government that has repeatedly provided support for acts of international terrorism” 28 U.S.C. § 1605A(h)(6).

Iran and Sudan were designated by the U.S. Department of State as state sponsors of terrorism on January 19, 1984 and August 12, 1993, respectively. Iran was formally declared a state sponsor of terrorism by Secretary of State Schultz, *see* 49 Fed. Reg. 2836 (Jan. 23, 1984), and today remains designated as a state sponsor of terrorism. Sudan was originally designated a state sponsor of terrorism in 1993. *See* 58 Fed. Reg. 52,523 (Oct. 8, 1993). Once a country has been designated as a state sponsor of terrorism, the designation cannot be rescinded unless the President submits to Congress a proper report, as described in the Export Administration Act. *See* 50 U.S.C. app. § 2405(j)(4). Iran and Sudan have never been removed from this list of state sponsors of terrorism. Hence, the requirements set forth in section 1605A(a)(2)(A)(i) are satisfied.

*Appendix B***ii. Extrajudicial Killing and Provision of Material Support**

The FSIA, as amended, strips immunity “in any case . . . in which money damages are sought against a foreign state for personal injury or death that was caused by an act of . . . extrajudicial killing . . . or the provision of material support or resources for such an act if such an act or provision of material support or resources is engaged in by an official, employee, or agent or such foreign state while acting within the scope of his or her office, employment, or agency.” 28 U.S.C. § 1605A(a)(1). The FSIA refers to the Torture Victim Protection Act of 1991 (“TVPA”) for the definition of “extrajudicial killing.” *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1605A(h)(7). The TVPA provides that

the term “extrajudicial killing” means a deliberated killing not authorized by a previous judgment pronounced by a regularly constituted court affording all of the judicial guarantees which are recognized as indispensable by civilized peoples. Such term, however, does not include any such killing that, under international law, is lawfully carried out under the authority of a foreign nation.

28 U.S.C. § 1350 note; *see also Valore v. Islamic Republic of Iran*, 700 F. Supp. 2d 52, 74 (D.D.C. 2010) (adopting the TVPA definition of “extrajudicial killing” in bombing of U.S. Marine barracks in Beirut, Lebanon).

Appendix B

Plaintiffs have satisfied their burden under 28 U.S.C. § 1608(e) to show that the governments of Sudan and Iran provided material support and resources to Bin Laden and al Qaeda for acts of terrorism, including extrajudicial killings. Targeted, large-scale bombings of U.S. embassies or official U.S. government buildings constitute acts of extrajudicial killings. *Estate of Doe*, 2011 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 90875, 2011 WL 3585963, at *10 (“[T]he 1983 and 1984 Embassy bombings both qualify as an ‘extrajudicial killing.’”); *Dammarell v. Islamic Republic of Iran*, 281 F. Supp. 2d 105, 192 (D.D.C. 2003) (“[T]he evidence is conclusive that [the victims of the 1983 embassy bombing in Lebanon] were deliberately targeted for death and injury without authorization by a previous court judgment . . . and [the 1983 bombing] constitutes an act of ‘extrajudicial killing.’”); *Wagner v. Islamic Republic of Iran*, 172 F. Supp. 2d 128, 134 (D.D.C. 2001) (finding the September 1984 bombing of the U.S. embassy annex in Lebanon was a “deliberate and premeditated act” that killed 14 people and “[t]here is no evidence that it was judicially sanctioned by any lawfully constituted tribunal”); *Brewer*, 664 F. Supp. 2d at 52-53 (same); *Welch v. Islamic Republic of Iran*, 2007 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 99191, at *26 (D.D.C. Sept. 20, 2007) (finding that an embassy attack “clearly qualifies as an extrajudicial killing”).

With the support of Sudan and Iran, al Qaeda killed hundreds of individuals — and attempted to kill thousands more — on site in the 1998 U.S. embassy attacks in Nairobi and Dar es Salaam. No one questions that al Qaeda carried out the two bombing attacks, and Bin Laden himself claimed responsibility for them during an al Qaeda

Appendix B

documentary history released by the al Qaeda media wing. *See* Exs. LL, MM, NN, OO; Tr. Vol. III at 313-16. Such acts of terrorism are contrary to the guarantees “recognized as indispensable by civilized persons.” Hence, the 1998 embassy attacks in Kenya and Tanzania, and the resulting deaths and injuries, qualify as an “extrajudicial killing.”

The statute defines “material support or resources” to include “any property, tangible or intangible, or service, including currency or monetary instruments or financial securities, financial services, lodging, training, expert advice or assistance, safehouses, false documentation or identification, communications equipment, facilities, weapons, lethal substances, explosives, [and] personnel.” 18 U.S.C. § 2339A(b). As described in detail above, defendants provided several kinds of material support to al Qaeda without which it could not have carried out the 1998 bombings. Sudan provided — at least — safe haven for Bin Laden and al Qaeda, and functioned as its training, organizational and logistical hub, from 1991 to 1996. When a foreign sovereign allows a terrorist organization to operate from its territory, this meets the statutory definition of “safehouse” under 18 U.S.C. § 2339A(b):

Insofar as the government of the Republic of Sudan affirmatively allowed and/or encouraged al Qaeda and Hizbollah to operate their terrorist enterprises within its borders, and thus provided a base of operations for the planning and execution of terrorist attacks — as the complaint unambiguously alleges — Sudan provided a “safehouse” within the

Appendix B

meaning of 18 U.S.C. § 2339A, as incorporated in 28 U.S.C. § 1605(a)(7).

Owens v. Republic of Sudan, 412 F. Supp. 2d 99, 108 (D.D.C. 2006). The Sudanese government also provided inauthentic passports, which qualify as “false documentation or identification” under 18 U.S.C. § 2339A(b). Plaintiffs also established that the Iranian government both trained al Qaeda members and authorized the provision of training by Hezbollah in explosives, and specifically in how to destroy large buildings. This support qualifies as “training, expert advice or assistance” under 18 U.S.C. § 2339A(b). *See id.* § 2339A(b)(2) and (3) (defining “training” as “instruction or teaching designed to impart a specific skill, as opposed to general knowledge” and “expert advice or assistance” as “advice or assistance derived from scientific, technical or other specialized knowledge”).

The statute also requires that the extrajudicial killings be “caused by” the provision of material support. The causation requirement under the FSIA is satisfied by a showing of proximate cause. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1605A(a)(1); *Estate of Doe*, 2011 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 90875, 2011 WL 3585963, at *11; *Valore*, 700 F. Supp. at 66; *Kilburn v. Socialist People’s Libyan Arab Jamahiriya*, 376 F.3d 1123, 1128, 363 U.S. App. D.C. 87 (D.C. Cir. 2004) (weighing the import of the phrase “caused by” from 28 U.S.C. § 1605(a)(7), the predecessor statute to 28 U.S.C. § 1605A). Proximate causation may be established by a showing of a “reasonable connection” between the material support provided and the ultimate act of terrorism. *Valore*, 700 F. Supp. 2d at 66. “Proximate cause exists so long as there is

Appendix B

‘some reasonable connection between the act or omission of the defendant and the damages which the plaintiff has suffered.’” *Id.* (quoting *Brewer*, 664 F. Supp. 2d at 54 (construing causation element in 28 U.S.C. § 1605A by reference to cases decided under 28 U.S.C. § 1605(a) (7)). Plaintiffs have demonstrated several reasonable connections between the material support provided by defendants and the two embassy bombings. Sudan provided the safe harbor necessary to allow al Qaeda to train and organize its members for acts of large-scale terrorism from 1992 to 1996. Sudan facilitated its safe harbor through constant vigilance by its security services and the provision of documentation required to shelter al Qaeda from foreign intelligence services and competing terrorist groups. Iran’s training and technical support was specifically required for the successful execution of al Qaeda’s plot to bomb the two embassies. Hence, plaintiffs have established that the 1998 embassy bombings were caused by Iran and Sudan’s provision of material support.

B. Federal Cause of Action

Once jurisdiction has been established over plaintiffs’ claims against all defendants, liability on those claims in a default judgment case is established by the same evidence if “satisfactory to the Court.” 28 U.S.C. § 1608(e). Plaintiffs’ claims are brought under section 1605A(c), the newly created federal cause of action, or, in the alternative, under applicable state or foreign law. Section 1605A(c) authorizes claims against state sponsors of terrorism to recover compensatory and punitive damages for personal injury or death caused by acts described as follows.

Appendix B

(c) Private right of action.—A foreign state that is or was a state sponsor of terrorism as described in subsection (a)(2)(A)(i), and any official, employee, or agent of that foreign state while acting within the scope of his or her office, employment, or agency, shall be liable to—

(1) a national of the United States,

(2) a member of the armed forces,

(3) an employee of the Government of the United States, or of an individual performing a contract awarded by the United States Government, acting within the scope of the employee's employment, or

(4) the legal representative of a person described in paragraph (1), (2), or (3), for personal injury or death caused by acts described in subsection (a) (1) of that foreign state, or of an official, employee, or agent of that foreign state, for which the courts of the United States may maintain jurisdiction under this section for money damages. In any such action, damages may include economic damages, solatium, pain and suffering, and punitive damages. In any such action, a foreign state shall be vicariously liable for the acts of its officials, employees, or agents.

The plain meaning approach to statutory construction governs the Court's interpretation of § 1605A(c). *See Estate of Doe*, 2011 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 90875, 2011 WL 3585963, at *13-*14. A straightforward reading of § 1605A(c) is that

Appendix B

it creates a federal cause of action for four categories of individuals: a national of the United States, a member of the U.S. armed forces, a U.S. Government employee or contractor, or a legal representative of such a person. Absent from these four categories are non-U.S. national family members of the victims of terrorist attacks. The statutory language that follows the listing of the four categories of individuals in § 1605A(c) does not expand the private right of action beyond those four categories. The cause of action is further described as “for personal injury or death caused by acts described in subsection (a) (1) of that foreign state, or of an official employee or agent of that foreign state, for which the courts of the United States may maintain jurisdiction under this section for money damages.” *Id.*

Plaintiffs argue that the statutory language creates a cause of action for any individual victim or claimant “for which the courts of the United States may maintain jurisdiction.” But the plain language of the statute does not support this construction. Indeed, the text refers back to the waiver of sovereign immunity as to a foreign state for terrorist acts as provided in section (a)(1). Nonetheless, the family member plaintiffs contend that, even if they do not fit expressly within the four categories listed in § 1605A(c) (1)-(4), once the immunity of the defendants has been waived as to their claims, the intent of Congress indicates that the immediate family members of U.S. government employees, despite their status as foreign nationals, are entitled to bring claims through a federal statutory cause of action and seek damages for their losses, including for solatium and pain and suffering.

Appendix B

Plaintiffs explain that the legislative history reveals that a purpose of the 2008 amendments to the FSIA was to “fix[] the inequality” of rights between U.S. citizens and non-U.S. citizens to seek relief from the perpetrators of terrorist acts. *See* 154 Cong. Rec. S54 (daily ed. Jan. 22, 2008) (statement by Sen. Lautenberg). And, plaintiffs continue, Congress was prompted to create a federal statutory cause of action that would resolve the disparity among the various state laws regarding the recovery of emotional distress by immediate family members that existed prior to the statutory amendments. *See* 154 Cong. Rec. S54 (daily ed. Jan. 22, 2008) (statement by Sen. Lautenberg) (noting that the amendments would fix the problem of “judges hav[ing] been prevented from applying a uniform damages standard to all victims in a single case because a victim’s right to pursue an action against a foreign government depends upon State law”). Indeed, if foreign national immediate family members of victims do not have a cause of action under § 1605A(c), then Senator Lautenberg did not completely “fix” the problem of disparate damages standards for this particular category of claimants. But it is not the court’s role to fix a problem that Congress failed to address. *See Estate of Doe*, 2011 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 90875, 2011 WL 3585963, at *14. As *Cicippio-Puleo* instructed, “the Supreme Court has declined to construe statutes to imply a cause of action where Congress has not expressly provided one.” 353 F.3d at 1033.

Some courts have found jurisdiction and a cause of action under §1605A and, in so doing, have noted that because § 1605A(c) incorporates the elements required

Appendix B

to waive the foreign state's immunity and vest the court with subject matter jurisdiction under section 1605A, "liability under section 1605A(c) will exist whenever the jurisdictional requirements of section 1605A are met." *Calderon-Cardona v. Democratic People's Republic of Korea*, 723 F. Supp. 2d 441, 460 (D.P.R. 2010); *see also Kilburn v. Islamic Republic of Iran*, 699 F. Supp. 2d 136, 155 (D.D.C. 2010) (explaining that the elements of immunity and liability are "essentially the same [under the new amendments] in that § 1605A(a)(1) must be fulfilled to demonstrate that a plaintiff has a cause of action" under § 1605A(c)); *Murphy v. Islamic Republic of Iran*, 740 F. Supp. 2d 51, 72 (D.D.C. 2010) (analyzing liability and jurisdiction together); *Brewer*, 664 F. Supp. 2d at 52 ("[I]f immunity is waived, the Act provides for economic damages, solatium, pain and suffering, and punitive damages."); *Gates v. Syrian Arab Republic*, 580 F. Supp. 2d 53, 64-69 (D.D.C. 2008) (analyzing liability under the same elements required for jurisdiction and finding liability where extrajudicial killing and material support elements satisfied). But that is not true here. In each of those cases, the claimants fit within the four categories of individuals who are explicitly provided a cause of action under § 1605A(c) of the statute. The elements for a waiver of immunity and for liability, then, may indeed be the same. But not for individuals who do not fit within the four categories listed in § 1605A(c). *See Estate of Doe*, 2011 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 90875, 2011 WL 3585963, at *15.

Hence, those plaintiffs who are foreign national family members of victims of the terrorist attacks in Nairobi and Dar es Salaam lack a federal cause of action. Nonetheless,

Appendix B

they may continue to pursue claims under applicable state and/or foreign law. Although § 1605A created a new federal cause of action, it did not displace a claimant's ability to pursue claims under applicable state or foreign law upon the waiver of sovereign immunity. *See Estate of Doe*, 2011 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 90875, 2011 WL 3585963, at *15 (citing *Simon*, 529 F.3d at 1192). Indeed, plaintiffs injured or killed as a result of state-sponsored terrorist attacks have pursued claims under both the federal cause of action and applicable state law, and are precluded only from seeking a double recovery. *See id.*

C. Choice of Law

In circumstances where the federal cause of action is not available, courts must determine whether a cause of action is available under state or foreign law and engage in a choice of law analysis. Federal courts addressing FSIA claims in the District of Columbia apply the choice of law rules of the forum state. *Oveissi v. Islamic Republic of Iran*, 573 F.3d 835, 840, 387 U.S. App. D.C. 366 (D.C. Cir. 2009); *Dammarell*, 2005 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 5343, 2005 WL 756090, at *18. This Court will therefore look to the choice of law rules of the District of Columbia in this case.

Under District of Columbia choice of law rules, the court must first determine whether a conflict exists between the law of the forum and the law of the alternative jurisdiction. If there is no true conflict, the court should apply the law of the forum. *See USA Waste of Md, Inc. v. Love*, 954 A.2d 1027, 1032 (D.C. 2008) (“A conflict of laws does not exist when the laws of the different jurisdictions

Appendix B

are identical or would produce the identical result on the facts presented.”). If a conflict is present, the District of Columbia employs a “‘constructive blending’ of the ‘government interests’ analysis and the ‘most significant relationship’ test” to determine which law to apply. *Oveissi*, 573 F.3d at 842; *Dammarell*, 2005 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 5343, 2005 WL 756090, at *18 (citation omitted).

In *Dammarell*, an FSIA case that involved the 1983 bombing of the U.S. embassy in Beirut, Lebanon, this Court explained that “under the governmental interests analysis as so refined, we must evaluate the governmental policies underlying the applicable laws and determine which jurisdiction’s policy would be most advanced by having its law applied to the facts of the case under review.” 2005 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 5343, 2005 WL 756090, at *18. For the “‘most significant relationship’ component of the analysis, the D.C. Court of Appeals directs courts to section 145 of the Restatement of the Conflict of Laws, which identifies four relevant factors: (i) ‘the place where the injury occurred’; (ii) ‘the place where the conduct causing the injury occurred’; (iii) ‘the domicile, residence, nationality, place of incorporation and place of business of the parties’; and (iv) ‘the place where the relationship, if any, between the parties is centered.’” *Id.* (citing Restatement (Second) of Conflict of Laws § 145 (1971)). The Restatement also references the “needs of the interstate and the international systems, the relevant policies of the forum, the relevant policies of other interested states, certainty, predictability and uniformity of result, and ease in the determination and application of the law to be applied.” *Id.*; see also *Oveissi*, 573 F.3d at 842; *Estate of*

Appendix B

Heiser v. Islamic Republic of Iran, 466 F. Supp. 2d 229, 266 (D.D.C. 2006). As a general rule, the law of the forum governs, “unless the foreign state has a greater interest in the controversy.” *Kaiser-Georgetown Cmty. Health Plan v. Stutsman*, 491 A.2d 502, 509 (D.C. 1985).

Three conceivable choices of law are presented in this case: the law of the forum state (the District of Columbia), the laws of the place of the tort (Kenya and Tanzania), or the law of the domicile state or country of each plaintiff (including domestic and foreign locations). *See Dammarell*, 2005 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 5343, 2005 WL 756090, at *18. In previous FSIA terrorism cases involving U.S. citizen plaintiffs, this Court ruled that the law of the domicile state of each plaintiff should provide the rule of decision, noting each state’s interest in the welfare and compensation of the surviving family members of individuals killed in the terrorist attacks. *See* 2005 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 5343, [WL] at *21 (citing cases). Here, as in *Estate of Doe*, the choice of law analysis pertains only to non-U.S. national family members of victims of the terrorist attacks (who lack a federal cause of action), and the balance of interests suggests a different outcome from the FSIA cases involving U.S. citizen plaintiffs.

Consistent with *Dammarell* and other FSIA cases, United States domestic law remains more appropriate in state-sponsored terrorism cases than foreign law. Furthermore, in light of the 2008 amendments to FSIA that seek to promote uniformity and extend access to U.S. federal courts to foreign national immediate family members of victims of terrorism, the law of the forum

Appendix B

state, the District of Columbia, should provide the rule of decision.

1. Domestic Law

As in *Dammarell*, the choice of law analysis here points away from the place of the injury, and toward applying the laws of a United States forum. First, no clear conflict of law is present between the laws of the forum (District of Columbia) and the laws of Kenya and Tanzania. Like District of Columbia law, Kenyan law allows immediate family members to recover for their emotional distress. *See* Pl.'s Att. B, Kenyan Legal Opinion. Tanzanian law also permits immediate family members to recover for some emotional injuries. Tanzanian Probate and Administration of Estates Act, ¶ 33 (Lexis 2010). When “the laws of the different jurisdictions . . . would produce the identical result on the facts presented,” *USA Waste*, 954 A.2d at 1032, it tilts the balance of this Court’s choice of law analysis towards domestic law.

Second, to the extent that United States law and the law of Kenya and Tanzania (or another foreign jurisdiction) conflict, the District of Columbia’s “governmental interests” choice of law test in state-sponsored terrorism cases strongly favors the application of United States law over foreign law. Although “[t]he law of a foreign country has provided the cause of action in some cases arising out of mass disasters that occurred on foreign soil,” *Dammarell*, 2005 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 5343, 2005 WL 756090, at *19 (citing *Harris v. Polskie Linie Lotnicze*, 820 F.2d 1000, 1004 (9th Cir. 1987) (applying Polish law

Appendix B

to airplane crash occurring in Poland), and *Barkanic v. Gen. Admin. of Civil Aviation of the People's Republic of China*, 923 F.2d 957, 962-64 (2d Cir. 1991) (applying Chinese law to airplane crash occurring in China)), such a result is less appropriate in state-sponsored terrorism-related cases. In terrorism cases, “[t]he United States has a unique interest in having its domestic law — rather than the law of a foreign nation — used in the determination of damages in a suit involving such an attack.” *Holland v. Islamic Republic of Iran*, 496 F. Supp. 2d 1, 22 (D.D.C. 2005) (citing Restatement (Third) of Foreign Relations Law § 402(3) (1987)).

Here, just as in *Dammarell*, “the particular characteristics of this case heighten the interests of a domestic forum and diminish the interest of the foreign state. The injuries in this case are the result of a state-sponsored terrorist attack on a United States embassy and diplomatic personnel. The United States has a unique interest in its domestic law, rather than the law of a foreign nation, determining damages in a suit involving such an attack.” *Dammarell*, 2005 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 5343, 2005 WL 756090, at *20; *see also* Restatement (Third) of Foreign Relations Law § 402(3) (1987) (recognizing that the United States has an interest in projecting its laws overseas for “certain conduct outside its territory by persons not its nationals that is directed against the security of the state or against a limited class of other state interests”). These considerations “elevate the interests of the United States to nearly its highest point.” *Dammarell*, 2005 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 5343, 2005 WL 756090, at *20; *see also Kaiser-Georgetown Cmty.*

Appendix B

Health Plan, 491 A.2d at 509 n.10 (suggesting that unless a foreign state has a greater interest in the application of its law than the forum state, the interests of efficiency only serve to further “tilt the balance in favor of applying the law of the forum state”). Hence, the “governmental interest” prong of the District of Columbia choice of law analysis counsels against applying the law of Kenya and Tanzania, or other foreign laws, and suggests that domestic law should control. *Cf. Estate of Doe*, 2011 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 90875, 2011 WL 3585963, at *17.

2. District of Columbia Law

In addition to the strong governmental interest in applying United States law in this case, the interests of uniformity of decision among the foreign national family members points to the application of the law of the forum. Most of these plaintiffs are domiciled in Kenya and Tanzania, although some are domiciled in other countries. In previous FSIA decisions, this Court has applied the laws of the several domiciliary states. *See, e.g., Dammarell*, 2005 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 5343, 2005 WL 756090, at *21. Here, however, the interests of uniformity provided by the law of the forum state, which also has a significant interest in the underlying events, provides the most appropriate choice of law for all foreign national family members who lack a federal cause of action. *See Kaiser-Georgetown Cmty. Health Plan*, 491 A.2d at 509 n.10 (“‘The forum State’s interest in the fair and efficient administration of justice’ together with the ‘substantial savings [that] can accrue to the State’s judicial system’ when its judges are ‘able to apply law with which [t]he[y

Appendix B

are] thoroughly familiar or can easily discover,' tilt the balance in favor of applying the law of the forum." (quoting *Allstate Ins. Co. v. Hague*, 449 U.S. 302, 326, 101 S. Ct. 633, 66 L. Ed. 2d 521 & n.14 (1981)).

In the recent amendments to the FSIA, Congress has sought to strengthen enforcement of United States terrorism laws and to extend their protections to foreign nationals who are employees of United States embassies targeted by terrorists and their immediate family members, as well as to correct the problem of disparity among the various state laws regarding recovery of emotional distress by family members. *See Estate of Doe*, 2011 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 90875, 2011 WL 3585963, at *18. As discussed above, Congressional desire to promote uniformity does not, by itself, create a federal cause of action for non-United States national family members where the statutory text fails to do so. But efficiency and uniformity are appropriate and meaningful factors in a choice of law analysis. Without doubt, applying District of Columbia law will provide greater uniformity of result, as individual plaintiffs domiciled in different states and foreign nations will all be subject to the same substantive law. Although "the D.C. Court of Appeals has emphasized that concerns of uniformity and familiarity cannot prevail when another location otherwise has 'a significantly greater interest than does the District' in the cause of action," *Dammarell*, 2005 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 5343, 2005 WL 756090, at *20 (citing *Mims v. Mims*, 635 A.2d 320, 324-25 (D.C. 1993)), the recent amendments — and the stated goal of those amendments to promote uniformity — serve to increase the interest in applying District of Columbia substantive law to this case.

Appendix B

The District of Columbia's connection to the terrorist attacks in this case further supports this choice of law conclusion. To be sure, the 1998 embassy bombings took place in Kenya and Tanzania, the nationalities and domiciles of the various victims and plaintiffs are disparate and varied, and the defendants have no connection to the United States. But a unifying factor in this case is that all of plaintiffs' claims derive from employment with a federal agency headquartered in the District of Columbia, the seat of the federal government. The application of District of Columbia substantive law best promotes the United States' interest in applying domestic law rather than the law of a foreign nation, Congress's intent to promote uniformity of result, and the District of Columbia's real connection to the attacks in this case. *See Estate of Doe*, 2011 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 90875, 2011 WL 3585963, at *19. Hence, this Court will apply the law of the District of Columbia to plaintiffs' claims that do not arise under the federal cause of action at § 1605A(c).

III. CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, final judgment on liability will be entered in favor of plaintiffs and against defendants. Plaintiff's claims, under federal³ or state law, will be

3. For plaintiffs' federal claims under § 1605A(c), "[t]he Court is presented with the difficulty of evaluating these claims under the FSIA-created cause of action, which does not spell out the elements of these claims that the Court should apply." *Valore*, 700 F. Supp. 2d at 75. Hence, the Court "is forced . . . to apply general principles of tort law — an approach that in effect looks no different from one that explicitly applies federal common law"; but "because these actions arise solely from statutory rights, they are not in theory matters of

Appendix B

referred to a special master, who will receive evidence and prepare proposed findings and recommendations for the disposition of each individual claim in a manner consistent with this opinion. A separate order will be issued on this date.

/s/
JOHN D. BATES
United States District Judge

Dated: November 28, 2011

federal common law.” *Heiser*, 659 F. Supp. 2d at 24; *see also Bettis v. Islamic Republic of Iran*, 315 F.3d 325, 333, 354 U.S. App. D.C. 244 (D.C. Cir. 2003) (discussing that the term “federal common law” under the FSIA “seems to us to be a misnomer” because “these actions are based on statutory rights”). District courts thus look to Restatements, legal treatises, and state decisional law “to find and apply what are generally considered to be the well-established standards of state common law, a method of evaluation which mirrors — but is distinct from — the ‘federal common law’ approach.” *Heiser*, 659 F. Supp. 2d at 24.